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VISION

From *Pathway to Prosperity: The Statewide Strategic Planning Elements for Texas State Government*
Governor Rick Perry
March 2006

Working together, I know we can accomplish our mission and address the priorities of the people of Texas. My Administration is dedicated to creating greater opportunity and prosperity for our citizens, and to accomplish that mission, I am focused on the following critical priorities:

- Assuring open access to an educational system that not only guarantees the basic core knowledge necessary for citizenship, but also emphasized excellence and accountability in all academic and intellectual undertakings;
- Creating and retaining job opportunities and building a stronger economy that will lead to more prosperity for our people, and a stable source of funding for core priorities;
- Protecting and preserving the health, safety and well-being of our citizens by ensuring healthcare is accessible and affordable, and our neighborhoods and communities are safe from those who intend us harm; and,
- Providing disciplined, principled government that invests public funds wisely and efficiently.

MISSION

Texas State Government must be limited, efficient, and completely accountable. It should foster opportunity and economic prosperity, focus on critical priorities, and support the creation of strong family environments for our children. The stewards of the public trust must be men and women who administer state government in a fair, just, and responsible manner. To honor the public trust, state officials must seek new and innovative ways to meet state government priorities in a fiscally responsible manner.

*Aim high...we are not here to achieve inconsequential things!*
THE PHILOSOPHY OF TEXAS STATE GOVERNMENT

The task before all state public servants is to govern in a manner worthy of this great state. We are a great enterprise, and as an enterprise we will promote the following core principles.

- First and foremost, Texas matters most. This is the overarching, guiding principle by which we will make decisions. Our state, and its future, is more important than party, politics, or individual recognition.

- Government should be limited in size and mission, but it must be highly effective in performing the tasks it undertakes.

- Decisions affecting individual Texans, in most instances, are best made by those individuals, their families, and the local governments closest to their communities.

- Competition is the greatest incentive for achievement and excellence. It inspires ingenuity and requires individuals to set their sights high. And just as competition inspires excellence, a sense of personal responsibility drives individual citizens to do more for their future and the future of those they love.

- Public administration must be open and honest, pursuing the high road rather than the expedient course. We must be accountable to taxpayers for our actions.

- State government has a responsibility to safeguard taxpayer dollars by eliminating waste and abuse and providing efficient and honest government.

Finally, state government should be humble, recognizing that all its power and authority is granted to it by the people of Texas, and those who make decisions wielding the power of the state should exercise their authority cautiously and fairly.
TEXAS STATE GOVERNMENT
PRIORITY GOALS AND BENCHMARKS
FROM PATHWAY TO PROSPERITY

EDUCATION (PUBLIC SCHOOLS):

To ensure that all students in the public education system acquire the knowledge and skills to be responsible and independent Texans by:

• ensuring students graduate from high school and are ready for college, a two-year institution, other post-secondary training, or the workforce;
• continuing to develop reading, math, and science skills at appropriate grade level through graduation; and
• demonstrating exemplary performance in foundation subjects.

Benchmarks

• Percent of students from third grade forward who are able to read at or above grade level
• Percent of students who achieve continued mastery of the foundation subjects of reading, English language arts, math, social studies, and science

EDUCATION (HIGHER EDUCATION):

To prepare individuals for a changing economy and workforce by:

• providing an affordable, accessible, and quality system of higher education
• furthering the development and application of knowledge through teaching, research, and commercialization to provide an affordable, accessible, and quality system of higher education that prepares individuals for a changing economy and workforce, and furthers the development and application of knowledge through instruction, research and public service.

Benchmarks

• Percent of population age 24 and older with vocational/technical certificate as highest level of educational attainment
• Percent of population age 24 and older with two-year college degree as highest level of educational attainment
• Percent of population age 24 and older with four-year college degree as highest level of educational attainment
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES:
To promote the health, responsibility, and self-sufficiency of individuals and families by:
- providing public assistance for those most in need through an efficient and effective system
- creating partnerships with local communities, advocacy groups, and the private and not-for-profit sectors.

Benchmarks
- Percent of people completing vocational rehabilitation services and remaining employed

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT:
To provide an attractive economic climate for current and emerging industries that fosters economic opportunity, job creation, capital investment, and infrastructure development by:
- promoting a favorable and fair system to fund necessary state services
- addressing transportation and housing needs
- developing a well trained, educated, and productive workforce.

Benchmarks
- Number of new small businesses created
- Median household income

PUBLIC SAFETY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE:
To protect Texans by:
- enforcing laws quickly and fairly
- maintaining state and local emergency, terrorism, and disaster preparedness and response plans
- policing public highways
- confining, supervising, and rehabilitating offenders. enforcing laws quickly and fairly; maintaining state and local emergency, terrorism and disaster preparedness and response plans; policing public highways; and, confining, supervising, and rehabilitating offenders.

Benchmarks
- Percent of Texas communities covered by current emergency and disaster prevention / recovery plans
- Juvenile violent crime arrest rate per 100,000 population
- Adult violent crime arrest rate per 100,000 population
GENERAL GOVERNMENT:
To provide citizens with greater access to government services while reducing service delivery costs and protecting the fiscal resources for current and future taxpayers by:
• supporting effective, efficient, and accountable state government operations
• ensuring the state’s bonds attain the highest possible bond rating
• conservatively managing the state’s debt.

Benchmarks
• Total state spending per capita
• State and local taxes per capita
• Number of state services accessible by Internet
• Savings realized by making reports/documents/processes available on the Internet
AGENCY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, STRATEGIES, & MEASURES

A. Goal: To improve the availability and delivery of library and information services for all Texans. [Government Code 441.001-.016, et. seq., 441.121-139; 441.101-.106, 441.111-.116; 441.201-.210; Human Resources Code 91.081-.084]

A.1 Objective: Enable Texas libraries to avoid $600 million of costs between 2009 and 2011 by sharing library resources.

Outcome Measures:
1. Percent of public libraries that have improved their services or resources
2. Dollar value of cost avoidance achieved by library resource sharing

A.1.1 Strategy: Share library resources among libraries statewide through Library of Texas, interlibrary loan, TexShare, and other projects.

Output Measures:
1. Number of books and other materials made available/circulated by library resource sharing
2. Number of persons provided project-sponsored services by library resource sharing
3. Number of librarians and others trained or assisted to use shared resources

Efficiency Measures:
1. Number of days of average turnaround time for interlibrary loans
2. Cost per book and other library material provided by shared resources
A.1.2 Strategy: Provide services and grants to aid in the development of local libraries, including Loan Star Libraries grants, continuing education and consulting services, the Texas Reading Club, funding regional cooperative programs, and other grants.

Output Measures: 1. Number of books and other library materials provided to local libraries
2. Number of librarians trained or assisted in libraries
3. Number of persons provided library project-sponsored services

Efficiency Measure: Cost per person provided local library project-sponsored services

A.2 Objective: Increase library use by Texans with disabilities to 10 percent of the eligible population.

Outcome Measure: Percent of eligible population registered for Talking Book Program services

A.2.1 Strategy: Provide direct library service by mail to Texas citizens with disabilities from a centralized collection of large-print, Braille, and recorded books.

Output Measures: 1. Number of persons served
2. Number of institutions served
3. Number of volumes circulated

Efficiency Measures: 1. Cost per person/institution served
2. Cost per volume circulated

Explanatory Measure: Number of Texans eligible for Talking Book Program service
B. Goal: To improve the availability and delivery of information services to state government and to persons seeking current and historical information from state government. [Government Code 441.001, et. seq.; and Subtitle C, Title 6, Local Government Code]

B.1 Objective: Improve information services to state and local government offices, historical and family history researchers, and the public by achieving an annual satisfaction rating of 95 percent from customers seeking information and reference services.

Outcome Measure: Percent of customers satisfied with State Library reference and information services

B.1.1 Strategy: Provide legislators, staff, and the general public with ready access to needed government information from publications, documents, records, and other library resources.

Output Measure: Number of assists with information resources

Efficiency Measure: Cost per assist with information resources

Explanatory Measure: Number of Web-based information resources used

C. Goal: To provide for the cost effective management of all state and local government records. [Subchapter L, Chapter 441, Government Code; and Subtitle C, Title 6, Local Government Code]

C.1 Objective: Achieve a 95 percent annual records retention compliance rate for state agencies, and an 80 percent annual records retention compliance rate for local government offices.

Outcome Measures:
1. Percent of state agencies administering programs based on approved records schedules
2. Percent of local government offices administering programs based on approved records schedules
3. Dollar value of cost-avoidance achieved for state records storage/maintenance
C.1.1 Strategy: Provide records management training, consulting, and storage services to state agencies and local government officials.

Output Measures:
1. Number of cubic feet stored/maintained
2. Number of state and local government employees assisted or trained
3. Total revenue from imaging services
4. Total revenue from storage services

Efficiency Measures:
1. Imaging services production revenue per FTE
2. Cost per cubic foot stored/maintained

D. Goal: To implement a program to insure the meaningful and substantive inclusion of historically underutilized businesses in all areas of procurement. [Government Code 2161.001 - .231]

D.1 Objective: Include historically underutilized businesses (HUBs) in at least 20 percent of all agency dollars spent for special trades services; 5 percent of all agency dollars spent for other services; and 30 percent of all agency dollars spent for commodities by FY2011.

Outcome Measure: Percent of total dollars spent with HUB vendors

D.1.1 Strategy: Develop and implement a plan to increase HUB vendor participation in all procurement areas.

Output Measures:
1. Dollar value of HUB contracts awarded
2. Number of HUB vendors contacted for bid proposals
OVERVIEW OF OUR AGENCY SCOPE AND FUNCTIONS

A. OUR ENABLING STATUTES AND ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

TEXAS LEGISLATION AND STATUTES

Overall: Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter A; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 2

Library systems: Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter I; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 1

School library standards: Education Code, Section 33.021; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 4

TexShare library consortium: Government Code 441, Subchapter M; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 8

State publications: Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter G; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 3

Central service to visually disabled: Human Resources Code, Chapter 91, Subchapter E; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 9

Print access aids: Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter H; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 9

State records: Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter L; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 6

Local government records: Local Government Code, Title 6, Subtitle C; Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter J; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 7

Electronic recording by county clerks: Local Government Code, Chapter 195; Administrative Code, Title 13, Chapter 7, Subchapter E

Texas Historical Records Advisory Board: Government Code, Chapter 441, Subchapter N

UNITED STATES LEGISLATION AND STATUTES

Service to blind and other physically disabled persons: 2 U.S.C.A. §135b

Federal funding for library services and technology: 20 U.S.C.A. §9101-9176

Federal documents: 19 U.S.C.A. §44

B. AGENCY HISTORY AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

1895 - U.S. and Texas governments agreed to exchange government documents.
1909 - Texas Library and Historical Commission was created; in 1979, it was renamed Texas State Library and Archives Commission (TSLAC).

1919 - Legislation was enacted regarding county public libraries.

1931 - National Library Service was established for adults who are blind, and TSLAC began providing Talking Book services.

1947 - State records management function was established.

1952 - Children who are blind became eligible for Talking Book service.

1956 - Federal Library Services Act was created and funded to establish and improve local public library service.

1962 - Lorenzo de Zavala State Archives and Library Building opened; Federal Depository Library Act established regional depository system.

1963 - State legislation was enacted to authorize TSLAC to collect, organize, and distribute Texas documents and to establish the depository library system for state publications.

1966 - Persons with physical disabilities other than blindness became eligible for the Talking Book service.

1969 - Legislative Reference Library was separated from the agency; Library Systems Act was enacted to provide for a regional cooperative program to improve Texas public libraries.

1971 - Local government records function was enacted.

1972 - State Records Center opened.

1974 - Persons with organic dysfunction resulting in learning disabilities became eligible for Talking Book service.

1977 - Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center in Liberty opened.

1978 - Talking Book Program’s Volunteer Recording Studio was established.

1988 - State Records Center expansion was completed, and Talking Book Program circulation facility opened adjacent to the State Records Center.

1989 - Local Government Records Act was enacted.

1994 - North Texas Regional Library System became the first of the ten regional public library systems to establish as a private not-for-profit organization, funded with a Library Systems Act grant.

1995 - Legislature assigned responsibility for the development of standards for school library programs to TSLAC; Legislation added electronic publications and Internet to agency responsibilities.

1996 - The Library Services and Technology Act replaced the Federal Library Services and Construction Act; Texas Book Festival was inaugurated to raise funds for public libraries and to encourage reading in Texas.

1997 - Legislature created library tax districts as a mechanism to establish and fund public libraries; Legislature enacted new state records preservation and management law; Legislature transferred responsibility for the TexShare academic library resource sharing consortium to TSLAC.
1999 - Legislature added public libraries to the TexShare library resource sharing consortium.

2001 - Legislature funded the Loan Star Libraries Program of direct aid to Texas public libraries; Libraries of clinical medicine were added to the TexShare library resource sharing consortium.

2003 – Legislature increased number of Commissioners to seven; Library Services and Technology Act was reauthorized.

2005 – Legislature authorized public school libraries to participate in group purchasing programs of TexShare; Legislature created a second library district law (does not replace the 1997 law) that permits funding by sales or property tax.

C. AFFECTED POPULATIONS

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission, as an agency in service to the life of the mind, provides collections and information services to the people of Texas, the nation, and the world. Through the Internet, telephone, interlibrary loan, and on-site assistance, we serve the information needs of all who seek our help. We also serve as guardians of the public’s right to know by overseeing the management and retention of the records of Texas governments.

KEY SERVICE POPULATIONS

Our services directly benefit:

- patrons who use the current and historical materials in the State Archives, genealogy, and reference/documents collections, which together comprise the most complete library of state and federal publications in Texas, as well as extensive, unique archival records and manuscripts
- Texas public and academic libraries and their patrons
- patrons with visual, physical, and learning disabilities, including the organizations serving them, who use the services of our Talking Book Program
- students, faculty, and staff of institutions of higher education; patrons and staff of public libraries and libraries of clinical medicine who participate in the TexShare library resource sharing consortium; students, faculty, and staff of public schools whose libraries participate in the group purchasing programs of TexShare
- libraries, museums, archives, agencies, and other organizations that benefit from the collaborative efforts of the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative
- government agencies that use our State Records Center and its records storage and document imaging services
- local government officials who depend on regional depositories for the safe storage of their permanent records
- records managers, librarians, and others who participate in our library and records management training and use our consulting services
- persons who attend workshops, conferences, and professional meetings featuring presentations by our staff
member libraries in the Texas State Publications Depository network that receive the state publications that we collect from state agencies and distribute

government agency staff who use our reference and interlibrary loan services

historians who assist corporations, state and federal agencies, and private individuals in complying with state and federal laws

readers of our publications, including library and records management professionals and the general public

visitors to the Lorenzo de Zavala Building and its exhibits, as well as visitors to our Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center in Liberty, Texas

researchers who use public and academic library statistics collected and compiled by TSLAC

staff of Texas libraries who use the materials in our Library Science Collection to further their professional development

library professionals who use our job-listing service.

Our services indirectly benefit:

all Texans, whose right to access government is defended and preserved by the activities of our information services and government records management and preservation programs

students and teachers in schools where libraries are improved through our standards for school library programs

children and families who participate in our annual Texas Reading Club

persons receiving materials distributed through our statewide interlibrary loan network

citizens serving on library system advisory councils whose activities are administered by TSLAC and through the Library Systems Act

historically underutilized businesses with which we do business.

Our rule-making authority affects:

state agencies and state-supported or state-sponsored institutions subject to the requirements of the Texas State Publications Depository Law

state and local government agencies subject to Texas government records management laws and our administrative rules adopted under authority of those laws

public libraries subject to commission rules, such as those for administering the Library Systems Act, library accreditation and library grants.

institutions of higher education, public libraries, and libraries of clinical medicine participating in the TexShare library resource sharing consortium

school districts and school librarians who improve school library programs through TSLAC’s school library standards.
D. Our Main Functions

In The Functions and Roles of State Library Agencies published in 2000 by the American Library Association, library consultants Ethel Himmel and Bill Wilson describe nine roles that state library agencies can play. These roles grew out of Himmel and Wilson’s in-depth analysis of data collected from the 50 state library agencies on collections, services, and functions. The roles are intended as tools to help state library agencies categorize and effectively articulate the vast array of activities in which they engage and support. Further, the roles provide a framework for a state library agency to make choices and set priorities.

In 2002, an agency strategic planning task force revised the nine roles, reshaping them to more accurately reflect the functions of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission, and added a tenth role, that of “Preserver.” These roles still form the foundation of our strategic planning.

Our Roles in State Government

Advocator – We actively promote the essential place of libraries and archives in our society. We champion the need for effective government records management. We encourage state and federal legislation that will improve these vital services.

Advisor – Through our expert staff, we provide guidance to libraries, government agencies, and the public on a broad range of topics including children’s and youth library services, library technology, grant writing, the management of government records, and the needs of special populations. Our staff also provides research guidance regarding archival collections of interest to historians, genealogists, lawyers, and members of the press.

Provider – We provide direct services to libraries, government agencies, and the public through online databases, reference services, interlibrary loan, grants and reading aids to those in our client population with special needs. We also provide records storage and document imaging services to government agencies.

Preserver – We acquire, arrange, maintain, and protect the historically valuable records of state government for public use and assist local governments in preserving their documentary heritage through training in records management.

Data Collector/Evaluator – We collect statistical information from libraries, government agencies, and others we serve, as well as compile, analyze, and report back the data on a regular or requested basis to the legislature, our client populations, and others. We also collect data and use it to evaluate the effectiveness of our programs and services.

Enforcer – We monitor and enforce federal and state statutory and regulatory requirements related to the management of library services, archives, and records.


**Leader** – We promote library, archival, and records management services by encouraging libraries and government agencies to implement programs and methods that improve their accountability, efficiency, and effectiveness.

**Facilitator** – We encourage cooperation and linkages between and among different types of libraries and archives, among governments at all levels, and between other members of our public and ourselves. These interconnections, through electronic networks, advisory committees, supportive organizations, and personal relationships, are invaluable to our mission.

**Educator** – We provide continuing education opportunities to members of the records management, library, and archival communities in order for them to carry out their respective duties and roles more effectively. We train customers directly in the efficient and effective use of print and electronic information resources.

**Innovator** – We continually seek new methods to demonstrate, inspire, and sponsor fresh ideas and innovative leadership in our constituent communities.

---

**E. Public Perception**

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission is a complex agency with a wide variety of services. As a result, knowledge of our agency sometimes varies according to the nature of the services accessed.

Studies have historically shown that the general public regards libraries highly and believes that communities are enhanced by their presence. We believe that the public’s good will toward libraries positively influences the public’s view of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission and our services.

The public’s direct knowledge of us and our programs is impeded by the indirect nature of many of our services. For example, our State and Local Records Management division provides consulting services and continuing education opportunities for state and local government records management officers to help them manage their records more effectively. Our services to these government employees translate into efficiencies that save time and tax dollars. However, the general public — the ultimate beneficiary — is rarely aware that these services exist. They are even less aware of who provides them.

We value and strive to maintain a straightforward, positive image among the public. Therefore, we identify and promote our programs within the context of a single agency with specific goals. Operating under the motto, "Making information work for all Texans," our service programs work together to make the statement a reality. Additionally, we have implemented uniform standards for agency publications, an agency-wide information packet, and hosting of agency-sponsored booths at major constituent conferences (such as the Texas Library Association and the Texas Municipal League). These efforts further provide a consistent, single message that emphasizes the entire spectrum of our duties and services to our customers. Our Web site, organized from the customer's perspective by topic rather than by organizational structure, is another example of our efforts to project an integrated image.
Organization of Our Agency

A. Size and Composition of Our Workforce

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission is authorized 206.3 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions, a reduction of 4.2 FTEs from the prior biennium. We currently employ one exempt, 189 full-time classified, and 33 part-time classified staff. Our workforce is diverse. As of Dec. 31, 2005, our workforce was made up of the following: 19.28 percent Hispanic, 6.72 percent African-American, and 51 percent women. More complete information on the agency’s workforce may be found in Appendix E, Workforce Plan.

B. Our Organizational Structure and Process

We value our employees as individuals and rely on their collective skills and talents to meet our goals. While style varies from manager to manager, the overall structure supports a two-way communication flow, and focuses efforts on creative work solutions that benefit our customers as well as staff.

The 78th Legislature increased the number of commissioners appointed to our agency. Beginning in Jan. 2004, a seven-member commission leads the agency. The governor appoints commissioners to six-year terms. The director and librarian is hired by the commission, and is responsible for agency-wide policy development and dissemination; however, staff input is both valued and invited to ensure informed decision-making and policy formulation and evaluation.

We have seven program divisions: Archives and Information Services, Information Resources Technologies, Library Development, Library Resource Sharing, State and Local Records Management, Talking Book Program, and Administrative Services. An Administrative Team, composed of the director and librarian, assistant state librarian, the seven division directors, and the communications officer, meets weekly to discuss agency issues, share information, and advise the director and librarian.

C. Our Location and the Impact of Geography on Our Operations

Our agency headquarters in the Lorenzo de Zavala State Archives and Library Building, located within the Capitol Complex at 1201 Brazos Street, in Austin. The State Records Center and Talking Book Program annex are at 4400 Shoal Creek Boulevard, in Austin. The Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center is located at 650 FM 1011 in Liberty.

Agency programs are effectively operating from the three separate locations. Locations and functions at the satellite facilities are complimentary; however, the physical restrictions of this arrangement requires two program divisions to address challenges specific to managing staff in multiple locations. Effective communication among facilities is a high priority, and employees in
each facility use a variety of methods to communicate including e-mail and “Angelina,” the agency’s intranet.

We work closely with federal agencies to implement programs, and key staff must travel annually to Washington, D.C., to attend training sessions and meetings related to their areas of administration. Our federal partners are the National Library Service for the Blind and Physically Handicapped (working with the Talking Book Program), and the Institute of Museum and Library Services (working with the other federal programs in the agency).

D. LOCATION OF OUR SERVICE POPULATIONS

Our service populations are diverse. We carefully plan and allocate resources in order to meet customer needs spread over a widely dispersed area. To increase efficiency and effectiveness of our programs, and to reach the widest audience and largest number of customers, we strive to partner as often as possible with regional organizations and networks such as the ten regional public library systems and the Texas Library Association districts in delivering continuing education and training. The agency’s trainers and consultants provide continuing education activities regionally to meet local needs more directly. The agency also delivers records management workshops for local governments on a regional basis throughout the state. We also support a network of regional document depositories and libraries that are part of a regional state publications network in order to meet the demands of a geographically diverse state.

During FY2003, we established eleven videoconferencing sites throughout the state in order to deliver continuing education more efficiently and effectively. Videoconferencing capabilities enable us to meet the challenges of geographic diversity and facilitate distance learning, communication, group discussion, and more. This greatly reduces travel costs for constituents, as we can conduct a training workshop in one location, and customers from throughout the state can participate via the system. In the last two years we have provided 14 videoconference training programs to 88 sites for 953 people, and conducted four meetings to 31 sites for 79 people. In 2005 we opened our network to allow us to connect to other sites, both in and out-of-state, which will diversify programs and bring them closer to more people. In addition, we have implemented Web-based continuing education programs in order to deliver education to librarians and library staff at their desktops. Current topics include Professionalism, Copyright Law, Introduction to Distance Learning, as well as web-based training we contract for from providers such as the University of North Texas.

As prescribed by the legislature, we place special emphasis on the Texas-Louisiana and Texas-Mexico border regions. Of the ten regional library systems in the state that we fund, five serve counties located in those targeted border regions. In FY2005, we awarded $4,839,699 in system grants to those five systems, and an additional $391,913 for Technical Assistance Negotiated Grants to help libraries in those regions better use and maintain their computer technology. We also awarded $5,800 in Texas Reads discretionary grants to two libraries serving communities in the border regions. Texas Reads grants provide funds for programs to promote literacy and reading in communities.
In FY2005, we awarded interlibrary loan grants of $1,573,235 to libraries in the border regions to facilitate resource sharing. Spanish-language interfaces for our major licensed statewide database resources are also available to libraries throughout Texas.

Nearly a quarter of all Talking Book patrons live in the 61 counties that make up the Texas-Mexico and the Texas-Louisiana border regions. As of Apr. 2006, 3,262 individuals and institutions are registered for services in the Texas-Mexico border counties, as are 1,044 individuals and institutions within the Texas-Louisiana border counties. These registrants represent 17 percent and six percent, respectively, of all patrons registered in our Talking Book Program.

Many public libraries took advantage of an offer from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation in FY2001 to install Spanish language computers. This equipment has greatly enhanced the ability of libraries to serve individuals whose first language is Spanish. In FY2003 we received a grant from the Gates Foundation to provide training to help libraries better support public computer access within their communities. In FY2004- FY2006 we are implementing another grant from the Gates Foundation to offer replacement computers to those libraries serving the poorest communities, as well as offer additional training. The Gates “Staying Connected” grant has so far provided 557 computers for 283 libraries and branches.

The commission also provides other training and educational opportunities to our customers throughout the state. In FY2005, our State and Local Records Management and Library Development divisions conducted 628 workshops for 11,630 participants around the state; 241 of the workshops (38 percent of total workshops) were delivered in the targeted border regions to 3374 attendees (29 percent of total participants).

In Nov. 2005, the Summerlee Foundation in Dallas, Texas, awarded the commission a $20,000 training grant for use by the Texas Historical Records Advisory Board (THRAB). During 2006 and 2007, THRAB will use those funds to offer basic preservation workshops focusing on three different topics at sixteen sites around the state.

E. Our Human Resources Strengths and Weaknesses

Our employees are educated and highly motivated. We are authorized 206.3 FTEs. Ninety-two of our positions are categorized as “professional” by the Department of Labor. Of these, 42 require an advanced degree, with the other 50 professional positions requiring either a bachelor’s degree or some college coursework combined with experience.

We have historically had difficulty in replacing employees in key professional positions with staff who have equivalent training and/or experience. It is also time-consuming to fill vacancies. During FY2005, it took an average of 112 days to fill our vacant professional positions with external candidates, with an average of 77 days to fill all positions posted externally. Two of our positions have been vacant for more than 250 days.

Our salaries are not competitive with the private sector or even with other state agencies. Given the level of education and experience required for many of our positions, low salaries will continue to impede our efforts to recruit and retain a qualified and diverse workforce in management and professional positions. The Texas Library Association recommends an entry-level salary of $37,000 for a professional librarian; our entry-level professional librarian salary is $28,740 under the State Classification System. This is after a reallocation of salaries in the Librarian, Archivist, and Historian classifications that raised the entry-level salary for these positions effective FY2006.
The Electronic Classification System (E-Class) maintained by the State Auditor’s Office indicates that only 4.5 percent of our agency’s salaries are above the midpoint in the salary range, leaving 95.5 percent below the midpoint. While recent changes in statute allow agencies to make salary adjustments outside of the merit award process, our agency would have needed an additional $686,203 in appropriation in FY2005 to get 100 percent of our staff to the midpoint.

We experienced a turnover rate of 10.6 percent in our full-time positions for FY2005, which is 8.2 percent lower than the statewide turnover rate of 18.8 percent during the same period. This is down significantly from an agency high of 29.3 percent in FY2001. We believe the reduction in turnover is directly related to the number of internal promotions. We posted 34 positions, and 15 were filled with internal candidates. When considering turnover in both full-time and part-time positions during FY2005, there were 22 separations based on an average annual employment of 196.4 full-time equivalent positions (FTEs). The clerical and lower-level para-professional positions were the highest categories of separations, with turnover in the mid-level professional positions ranking second. Of the 22 total separations, 15 (68%) were in these categories. All of the separations were voluntary terminations, with the highest number (five) accepting higher paying jobs at another state agency. Of the remaining 17, four employees resigned to relocate outside the Austin metropolitan area, three were retirements, three accepted higher paying jobs with non-state entities, one returned to school, and two employees each cited staying home with children, getting full-time employment, and better opportunities as the reasons for leaving.

Our training program is principally work-related, with a focus on increasing competence and performance in current positions. As funds are available, we also focus on building capacity in staff to handle new challenges, such as additional responsibilities, technological or legal requirements, and prospective duties based on available career ladders.

Staff whose specialized training is underwritten are required to share new skills and information with peers and customers upon completion of the training. Staff are also encouraged to write articles for agency newsletters, speak at conferences, and conduct follow-up training sessions in-house for others whose positions require similar skills.

Training and staff development needs are generally determined at the program division level. Staff training needs vary among the programs, and financial resources have been reduced over the past several years; therefore, agency managers work with staff to set priorities for training. Division directors collaborate across program divisions to maximize limited training resources and opportunities. The Human Resources Office also conducts new employee training and periodic training for supervisors in agency policies and federal and state laws pertaining to the workplace.

Work time and cost reimbursement are available to agency staff attending professional development conferences and meetings, as resources allow. Professional development greatly benefits both customers and the agency. In order to fulfill our role as Educator, staff responsible for providing consulting and training to our customers must keep abreast of trends and issues, best practices, and innovative technologies and service delivery approaches. Networking with colleagues in other state library agencies around the country is crucial to maintaining our role as Leader.
F. Our Capital Assets and Liabilities

We maintain the official archives for the State of Texas. The State Archives collection documents the history and workings of Texas government and constitutes an irreplaceable asset for the State. It is a unique collection of official, historically significant government records, as well as private papers, maps, photographs, and other priceless Texas treasures that comprise the essential evidence of the history of Texas. The collection of artifacts maintained at the de Zavala facility includes unique items such as actual battle flags and original oil paintings. The value of these artifacts is estimated at over $2.7 million, which represents values at time of donation rather than current fair market value. This value does not include the 60,000 cubic feet of Texas archival documents managed by the commission. These documents comprise the bulk of the collection, and their volume would be the equivalent of approximately 7,500 standard five-drawer, letter size file cabinets.

We also house and manage a collection of more than 1.5 million federal government documents, which includes more than 3,000 federal government CD-ROMs, and some 250,000 state government publications. We have collected and maintained federal documents since 1895, when the U.S. and Texas governments agreed to exchange government documents. Our physical book collections comprise almost 116,000 volumes. Books and microforms purchased by the State Library have a current value of almost $3.9 million.

The agency owns real property in Austin and Liberty. We own four tracts of land totaling approximately 145 acres at an estimated value of over $2.2 million. We deliver services from a commercial facility in Austin and one facility in Liberty, and own an additional five historical structures in Liberty.

The facility at 4400 Shoal Creek Boulevard, known as the State Records Center, sits on just over 16 acres of land, and jointly houses the State and Local Records Management Division and the circulation department of our Talking Book Program. The State Records Center has a capacity to store 390,000 cubic feet of state agency hard-copy records. Three vaults for the storage of electronic and microfilm records provide an additional 395,000 cubic feet of storage capacity for non-paper records. The center is in need of substantial repair and upgrade to its electrical and mechanical infrastructure. The costs of the retrofit are estimated to be $1.4 million to $3.4, depending on the extent to which the building's systems are replaced with the latest in energy-efficient technologies.

The State and Local Records Management division produces, stores, and maintains about 35,000 microfilm reels containing census records, tax records, and Texas newspapers, with an estimated replacement cost of almost $1 million. The Talking Book Program (TBP) maintains a collection of books and magazines in various media, including cassette, disc, large print, and Braille. In addition, TBP is a machine-lending agency for the National Library Service, which provides playback specialized equipment and adaptive technology devices for patrons with visual, physical, or learning disabilities. The Talking Book collection is valued at $16.75 million.

The Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center in Liberty is the official regional historical resource depository for ten counties in Southeast Texas. It was constructed during 1976-77 on 127 acres of land given to the agency by former Governor Price Daniel, Sr. and his wife Jean. In its museum, the Center features exhibits on a variety of Southeast Texas topics. The artifact collection maintained at the Sam Houston facility is valued at over $1 million. The
complex includes the following four historical structures, which have been restored and furnished with period artifacts and are available to the public for tours:

- The Gillard-Duncan House, built in 1848, showcases furnishings of five generations, including an early Texas schoolroom.
- The Norman House, circa 1883, depicts the periods of three families that owned the home.
- The 1898 St. Stephen’s Episcopal Church was recently restored and serves as a visitor orientation center and meeting room.
- The Price Daniel House is patterned after the original 1856 plans for the Texas Governor’s Mansion and displays mementos of the public life of Governor and Mrs. Price Daniel.

In addition, the commission owns the Miriam Partlow house and 1.4 acres of land located in downtown Liberty. The fully furnished house, completed in 1860, is managed and maintained by the Libertad Chapter of the Daughters of the American Revolution under the terms of a contractual agreement between the agency and the DAR Chapter.

In addition to the collection of physical materials, the commission acquires electronic information resources (databases, documents, and publications) from other state agencies and delivers them to the public. Keeping ahead of the recent explosion of information in all formats, we have built and enhanced the TRAIL program to collect, organize, and deliver over 74,000 electronic publications. We also have initiated a program to provide for the preservation of and access to historic state government publications in electronic format and have made more than 48,500 images of Republic of Texas records available online. Providing reliable electronic information services through the Internet requires continual capital investments in computer and telecommunications equipment.

**G. Our Use of Historically Underutilized Businesses**

The commission purchases goods and services from historically underutilized businesses (HUBs) whenever possible. We have traditionally exceeded the statewide average performance for the special trade and commodities categories. In FY2005, our commodity purchases with HUBs were 40.1 percent of our total expenditures in that category, which is more than twice the statewide average performance of 14.9 percent. The Texas Building and Procurement Commission (TBPC) assumed the facilities maintenance responsibilities for our Shoal Creek operations in September 2004, so those expenditures are now reported as other services by our agency and we receive no HUB credit for any expenditures incurred by TBPC for use of HUBs in this category.

We have consistently increased our overall HUB performance since FY2001 when our overall agency performance was 1.84 percent. Our overall performance for FY2005 was 4.03 percent. Despite steady improvements, our ability to significantly increase our overall performance is restricted by two major challenges.

First, contractors must have special expertise in the library field to meet many of our programmatic needs. While we frequently enter into professional service contracts with women and minorities, these vendors are reluctant to complete the paperwork required to become state-certified as HUBs, despite staff encouragement. Our staff continues to provide assistance to vendors who choose to seek certification.
The area of “other services” procurements presents a second challenge. A large portion of our procurements is proprietary in nature, such as library services provided by Amigos Services. This category also includes procurements of sole source electronic subscriptions, books, and database access. During FY2005, these sole source procurements represented 98.5 percent of the total agency expenditures in this category. If we could exclude these proprietary procurements, 56.8 percent of expenditures in this category would be with HUBs. In addition, the overall agency performance would increase to 34.06 percent.

We remain committed to doing business with HUB vendors for non-proprietary goods and services. To further increase procurements from HUBs, our purchasers participate in Economic Opportunity Forums sponsored by the Building and Procurement Commission in the central Texas area. The forums provide an opportunity for HUB vendors to become more familiar with our procurement needs.

H. Key Events, Areas of Change, and Their Impact

Key Management Staff

The retirement incentive authorized during the 78th Legislature resulted in a total of nineteen agency retirements between Aug. 2003 and Dec. 2005. Three of the retirements were at the division director level, and most of the other staff were in key professional positions. While the change in law permitted us to re-hire most of these key employees, the mandatory budget cuts associated with these (and future) retirements has presented unanticipated fiscal impacts for the current biennium. The future challenge for our agency will be to employ effective methods of knowledge transfer that will preserve much of the wealth of experience that employees of long-standing possess. This may include mentoring, job shadowing, cross training, and other methods of ensuring that we do not suffer from “brain drain” when retirements occur.

TexShare

In FY2004 support for the Texas Library Connection (TLC), a service of the Texas Education Agency providing K-12 school libraries with access to electronic resources and to union lists of statewide library holdings, was discontinued. In response to the needs of the public school library community for affordable electronic resources, the 79th Legislature passed SB483, allowing public school libraries to participate in group purchasing agreements of the TexShare consortium. No funding was associated with this legislation. TexShare staffing has remained constant despite membership growth that quadrupled the size of the consortium (now serving over 700 public libraries, academic libraries, and libraries of clinical medicine). With the addition of public school libraries to our constituency, the Library Resource Sharing division has experienced an additional tenfold increase in the number of libraries served. No additional staff was provided to accommodate this increase. Much of the work of providing limited services to public school libraries has been addressed through outsourcing; however, accommodating future growth while maintaining high standards of service is a major challenge for us.
In Oct. 2000, we launched the Library of Texas program funded by the Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Board. Two TIF grants enabled us to build an infrastructure to deliver information when, where, and how Texans need it. In 2002 and 2003, we extended videoconferencing capabilities to twelve libraries, continued centralized licensing of electronic databases, used technology to automatically capture state agency Web sites (furthering our progress on the creation of an electronic state documents archive), and instituted a new online tool for locating information resources in multiple locations and formats using a single search.

Sustaining this infrastructure for statewide information delivery is demanding, especially in a state that is characterized by a large population, vast expanses of rural areas, diverse demographics, and a high poverty level. Statewide licensing of databases on behalf of all academic, public, and public school libraries is significantly more efficient and cost-effective than each library’s own negotiation for individual licenses for the same resources. A common interface to statewide library catalogs and electronic resources facilitates information discovery and retrieval across the state. Low per capita funding for these resource delivery services presents a challenge.

In October, 2005, the Texas State Library and Archives Commission was awarded a two-year grant to administer a Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative (THDI) project to bring Texas history and culture to the desktop. THDI will broaden and streamline access to Texas institutions’ historical and cultural resources through the Internet. TSLAC will also work collaboratively with its eleven partners in this grant project to create study guides and other teaching tools to accompany these digitized resources. Before the end of the two-year grant, it will be necessary to fund the continuation of this effort through other revenue sources, and the agency’s continued role in advancing the initiative will need to be defined. We are currently fulfilling the obligations of this grant project without expanding the total number of FTEs in the agency. Should TSLAC accept ongoing responsibility for this service, long term staffing needs would also have to be addressed.

TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS

The Universal Service Fund (commonly called E-Rate) under the Federal Communications Commission requires that we approve technology plans for public libraries that apply for the E-Rate federal technology and telecommunications discounts. The approval process requires staff time and resources for training local librarians, providing technical assistance, and reviewing plans. Client groups reap benefits from other technology programs, such as grants from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, but usually not without the extensive participation of our staff.

The 79th Texas Legislature passed HB1516 mandating the consolidation of data centers across state agencies. The State Library is one of twenty-seven state agencies that will receive technology services through this new data center. While the goal of consolidation is to improve cost efficiency for the state as an enterprise, the devil in the details may be that some individual agencies may actually see an increase in what they pay for services comparable to what they have now. There is also concern that the loss of direct control over our technology resources may translate into slower response times from a remote data center than what our patrons and business partners have come to expect from us. There are too many unknowns at this time to accurately gauge the final impact of the consolidation on the library’s ability to deliver services to its patrons. We expect to develop a productive partnership with DIR and the service provider selected to manage the data center.
## Fiscal Aspects

### A. Size of Our Budget (Trends in Expenditures)

**Expenditures/Budget FY 2005 - FY 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGY</th>
<th>FY2005 Expenditures</th>
<th>FY2006 Budget</th>
<th>FY2007 Budget (Est.)</th>
<th>FY ’05 – ’07 PERCENT Increase/ Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library Resource Sharing Services</td>
<td>$ 9,264,617</td>
<td>$8,904,131</td>
<td>$9,087,131</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid to Local Libraries</td>
<td>12,314,327</td>
<td>11,900,617</td>
<td>11,892,617</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled Services</td>
<td>1,570,229</td>
<td>1,657,023</td>
<td>1,662,023</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Access to Information and Archives</td>
<td>1,340,371</td>
<td>1,365,721</td>
<td>1,364,221</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage State/Local Records</td>
<td>1,987,450</td>
<td>2,129,018</td>
<td>2,279,144</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect (Admin &amp; IRT)</td>
<td>1,850,550</td>
<td>2,004,662</td>
<td>2,005,397</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 28,327,544</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,961,172</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,290,533</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.13%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Method of Finance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2005</th>
<th>FY2006</th>
<th>FY2007</th>
<th>FY ’05 – ’07 PERCENT Increase/ Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td>$ 11,660,924</td>
<td>$13,038,922</td>
<td>$13,069,923</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>10,103,830</td>
<td>10,391,201</td>
<td>10,558,201</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR-Dedicated</td>
<td>3,039,933</td>
<td>2,908,875</td>
<td>2,885,875</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated Receipts *</td>
<td>1,650,357</td>
<td>161,800</td>
<td>165,300</td>
<td>-898%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency Contracts **</td>
<td>1,763,443</td>
<td>1,349,744</td>
<td>1,500,604</td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Federal Funds</td>
<td>109,057</td>
<td>110,630</td>
<td>110,630</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,327,544</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,961,172</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,290,533</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.13%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Appropriated Receipts for FY05 includes $698,495 in one-time grant funds that will not be available in the current biennium. These funds also include $851,958 in TexShare fees that will not be collected by the agency in the current biennium. Without these funds, the net change is an increase of 40 percent.

** Interagency Contracts for FY05 includes $487,603 in TexShare fees that will not be collected by the agency in the current biennium. Without these funds, the net change is an increase of 15 percent.
B. METHOD OF FINANCE

General Revenue funds are the primary source of funds for our agency, comprising 46 percent of our total budgets for FY2006 and FY2007. Federal funds also are an important component of our revenue, at over 37 percent during this biennium. The majority of the federal funds are re-granted to regional library systems to improve local public library services; a significant portion of our General Revenue appropriation is used to meet a mandatory state match for these funds.

Another large part of our budget comes from Interagency Contracts (IAC), which primarily reflect the fees generated by the State and Local Records Management division for the current biennium.

In FY2005, however, 28 percent of IAC funds were from TexShare Fees collected to subsidize database subscription costs administered through the Library Resource Sharing strategy. These fees will no longer be collected by our agency, but will continue to be collected by a third party to help fund the subscriptions. For the current biennium, the agency has been appropriated an additional $1.45 million in each year of the biennium to supplement the subscriptions for the TexShare database services. The agency has also been appropriated $5.7 million in direct General Revenue-Dedicated funds from the Telecommunication Infrastructure Fund, which is a reduction of approximately $255,000 from the prior biennium.

C. PER CAPITA AND OTHER STATES’ COMPARISONS

The state contributes a small share to the funding of public libraries. In FY2004, Texas contributed $0.12 per capita for state aid to public libraries, while the national average for state contributions was $3.82 per capita. Texas ranked 46th among the states reporting. In FY2004, local funding for public libraries in Texas was about 98 percent of the total funding, while state and federal funds made up the remaining 2 percent of funding for public libraries. In FY2003, Texas ranked 47 of 50 states and the District of Columbia on total per capita operating expenditures for public libraries.

Based on FY2004 funding and 2004 state population estimates, the Talking Book Program for Texans with disabilities again ranks 10th in per capita spending out of the ten most populous states with similar programs. While Texas ranks second both in overall population and population eligible for talking book services, the state spends only $5.41 per eligible client, compared with New Jersey, which ranks tenth in population and spends $15.91 per eligible client. Among the remaining most populous states, five (California, New York, Florida, Ohio, and Michigan) spend $10.00 or more per eligible client.

D. BUDGETARY LIMITATIONS (APPROPRIATION RIDERS)

Our imaging and records storage activities are funded entirely through revenues generated by providing services to state agencies and local governments. The commission
successfully operates these activities as business enterprises, but access to a cash flow contingency account is necessary should unanticipated major expenditures be required early in a fiscal year. Since the 1996-1997 biennium, the commission has had authority to carry-forward unexpended revenue balances from the first to the second year of a biennium. We believe that such authority is not necessary from the last year of a biennium to the first year of the next provided that we are permitted to borrow funds if needed and that there is no reduction in the current level of general revenue funding for our State and Local Records Management division.

Current Rider #5 (H.B. 1, 78th Legislature, 2003), should be re-authorized to read:

Cash Flow Contingency. Contingent upon reimbursements from state agencies and other governmental and private entities for imaging and records storage, the agency may temporarily utilize general revenue funds, not to exceed $200,000. These funds shall be utilized only for the purpose of temporary cash flow needs. The transfer and reimbursement of funds shall be under procedures established by the Comptroller of Public Accounts to ensure all borrowed funds are reimbursed to the Treasury on or before Aug. 31, 2007.

Our TexShare database program is funded, in part, through collection of fees from participating member libraries. In order ensure adequate cash flow from fee collection to payment for services, we must be able to apply monies collected at the close of one fiscal year to payments due the next fiscal year.

Current Rider #5 (S.B. 1, 79th Legislature, 2005), should be re-authorized to read:

Appropriation of Receipts and Unexpended Balances of TexShare Membership Fees and Reimbursements. The Library and Archives Commission is hereby authorized to collect fees from the members of the TexShare Library Resource Sharing consortium (estimated to be $30,000 each fiscal year and included above in Strategy A.1.1, Library Resource Sharing Services) from revenue generated from the fiscal year beginning Sept. 1, 2007, as authorized by Government Code sec.441.224.

Any unexpended balances on hand as of Aug. 31, 2007(estimated to be $0) in amounts collected from TexShare members for TexShare services or programs are hereby appropriated for the same purpose for the biennium beginning Sept. 1, 2007; any unexpended balances on hand as of Aug. 31, 2008, are hereby appropriated for the same purpose for the fiscal year beginning Sept. 1, 2008.

The legislature assigned the TexShare database budget to the agency’s capital budget for the biennium beginning Sept. 1, 2002. Expenditures for TexShare databases purchase access to information, but do not result in ownership of items as normally associated with capital purchases. Prior to Sept. 1, 2002, the budget for this service was not considered part of our capital budget. This portion of the Library Resource Sharing appropriation (Strategy A1.1) needs to be reassigned to the general budget in order to properly reflect the nature of these purchases.
E. Degree To Which Our Budget Meets Current and Future Needs and Expectations

Current budget levels and staffing authorizations cannot sustain our services for a growing customer base. Through attrition, the percentage of Talking Book clients we serve is expected to decline because funding and staffing levels are not keeping pace with the growth in potential customers. Public awareness activities have taken Talking Book Program staff into different areas of the state, but limited staffing and funding hampers staff efforts to reach large areas of the state, particularly rural areas where services may be most needed because libraries and bookstores are not available.

More funding and staffing are needed to acquire, appraise, prepare for research, and store state and local government records. Our current budget does not enable us to meet customer-expressed needs for new and expanded services, especially for greatly increased access to digital copies of original archival and library resources.

We face challenges in adequately compensating staff and providing special compensation incentives for high achievement. According to the most recent Survey of Organizational Excellence, staff continue to rate the construct of Fair Pay as the lowest of the twenty constructs measured in the survey. This low score reflects staff perceptions that our agency does not compare favorably to other organizations in the area of compensation. Staff perceptions of fair pay influence their feelings of satisfaction or discontent.

As we identify newer technologies to preserve documents and information and make them available to the public, our budget available for support of these technologies is inadequate. It is critical to achieve and maintain a high level of customer service, and failure to use these technologies proactively and effectively jeopardizes the fulfillment of our mission. Our ability to support the new technologies depends on our internal information resources and telecommunications capabilities, as well as our physical facility. This infrastructure must be maintained and enhanced to keep pace with the emergence of Web and imaging technologies that enhance document storage and preservation and information access. The wiring in the Lorenzo de Zavala Archives and Library Building must be upgraded, and the computer center requires a reliable emergency cooling system.

The Circulation facility of the Talking Book Program has many structural problems. The condition of the facility’s service elevator is of critical concern. Currently, this elevator has restrictions on its use. Staff must take extra care in how much weight is loaded onto the elevator and how that weight is distributed within the car. No passengers are allowed on the elevator at any time.

Training for technical staff is essential to prepare the agency for technical migrations and the integration of new technologies with existing services. Effective management of our recently established videoconferencing network requires ongoing maintenance, technical training and equipment upgrades, for example. All of these activities have budgetary implications. The delivery of Web-based information services places a strain on existing funding and requires staff with a higher technical skill level than was needed previously. We allocate scarce resources to the process of building capacity in information
technology staff and program administrators so that they can make effective use of new technologies.

In this information-driven society, quick and speedy access to information is increasingly important. Our TexShare database program provides such access to Texas library users throughout the state. This service and its providers are challenged to keep up with the expressed needs of a growing constituency for up-to-date, reliable information under the current budget.

The agency has taken administrative responsibility for an Institute of Library and Museum Services (IMLS)-funded National Leadership Grant to initially fund the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative. The initiative will broaden and streamline access to Texas institutions’ historical and cultural resources through the Internet. If the effort is to be continued after the end of the grant period, we must find a sustainable source of funding.

**F. AGENCY BENCHMARKS**

In FY2005 6.82 percent of Texans were without library service. In FY2004, 6.96 percent were without library service. The rapid population growth of the state, especially in areas currently un-served by an accredited public library, makes it difficult to improve in this measure.

The outcome measure for Objective A.2, "percent of eligible population registered for Talking Book Program services," reflects the lack of state funding for library services and outreach to potential patrons, when compared to other states. A 2004 report of the number of individuals served by the National Library Service program of the Library of Congress shows the percent of eligible population served for the ten largest states.

**PERCENT OF ELIGIBLE POPULATION SERVED (FY2004)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table uses data from the Library of Congress National Library Service. It includes some duplication, which is not calculated in our outcome measures.

Outcome measure B.1 is "Percent of Customers Satisfied with State Library Reference and Information Services," with a projected goal of 95 percent. No reliable source of benchmark information from a peer group is available for this measure.
SERVICE POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS AND ECONOMIC VARIABLES

Four key variables negatively impact the Texas State Library and Archives Commission's efforts to effectively serve Texans: the state’s high poverty rate, rapid population growth, increasing numbers of Hispanic and older Texans, and the vast expanses of rural Texas. Texas has the fifth-highest poverty rate in the nation, and this high poverty rate, coupled with low per capita income, translates into sparse local support for archives, libraries, and records management services. In per capita income, Texas ranks 27th nationally and is 47th in terms of per capita support for public libraries. Rapid population growth and low levels of local support intensify the pressure for archivists, librarians, and records managers to do more with less.

Since 1990, the Texas population has increased rapidly, with Texas now the second most populous state after California. The state’s population is expected to continue to grow, with a total projected population of 26.1 million by the year 2015 and 27.9 million by the year 2020. An estimated 3.1 million Texans will be over the age of 65 in 2015, and the Hispanic population in Texas will have grown to 8.2 million by 2015. In addition, we must balance the needs of a growing urban population with those of a rural constituency. Of the 254 Texas counties, 196 (77.2 percent) are designated as rural, with over three million Texans living in a non-metropolitan area.

We categorize our user groups as the general public, libraries and librarians, state agencies and local governments, and individuals with visual, physical, and learning disabilities. Every Texan, regardless of status, falls into one of these groups. Informational needs across these user groups vary widely, and our programs cover a broad spectrum of resources and services in order to serve these varied needs effectively.

Four units within our Archives and Information Services division—State Archives, Genealogy, Reference/Documents, and the Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center in Liberty—provide access to historical sources, government documents, and research assistance available nowhere else. In FY2005, those units provided information resources to researchers from all over the world via phone, mail, e-mail, and onsite visits. Because we provide access to hundreds of thousands of digital images of unique archival materials through our Web site, countless researchers have not had to travel to Austin to consult the original items.

In FY2005, our Talking Book Program (TBP) served 20,271 patrons, 7.31 percent of the estimated eligible population in Texas. According to FY2005 figures, an estimated 318,150 Texans have visual, physical, or learning disabilities that prevent them from reading standard print. As the population ages, the number of eligible Texans increases. Currently TBP offers reading materials in Braille, recorded cassette, vinyl disk, large print; over 8,000 digitized Braille books, magazines, and musical scores are available on the Web. Despite advances in electronic service delivery, many patrons with disabilities do not have the means to purchase the necessary hardware and software to access these materials in their homes.

Programs and activities provided by our Library Development division currently assist the state’s 558 public libraries, which serve over 93.18 percent of the state's population, as well as academic and school libraries through shared training and collaborative grant projects. About 1.48 million Texans (6.82 percent of the population) have no public library service available.
The statewide Texas Reading Club, co-sponsored by TSLAC and local public and school libraries, serves approximately 500,000 children in Texas each year. The Reading Club provides public libraries with promotional materials and a program manual to establish summer reading programs for children. School libraries use the materials during the school year. Staff also assists over 8,000 public, academic, and school librarians through various commission programs, including consulting services, in-person workshops, and the division's professional collection. With the state's vast distances and rural demographics, we are providing training with innovative delivery strategies such as videoconferencing, teleconferencing, and Web-based courses. We fund ten regional library systems around the state to provide closer, one-on-one support and services to libraries.

Rural demographics, increasing state population, and sparse local support also make Texas ideally suited for collaborative solutions. One such collaboration is the TexShare program, coordinated by our Library Resource Sharing division. TexShare serves academic libraries, public libraries, and libraries of clinical medicine. TexShare services include a courier that provides two-day delivery of books statewide, electronic databases, and a statewide reciprocal borrowing program.

The TexShare consortium, which began in 1997 with 52 members, has steadily grown to include more than 700 institutions. Resource sharing programs are an effective way to stretch library budgets, and we anticipate that additional customer groups will be added to the TexShare consortium in the future.

An important component of TexShare is access to electronic databases, which puts vast storehouses of knowledge on Texans’ computer desktops, even in the most remote areas of Texas. This service erases distance barriers and works to level the educational playing field, so all Texans have access to the same resources. Consolidating buying power at the state level enables us to offer Texans more databases for less money. In FY2005, we were able to offer $63 million worth of online database subscriptions at less than one-tenth of that cost. For every dollar spent, Texas received a $10 return on investment.

The Library of Texas program features the ability to search across Texas library online catalogs, online databases, and selected Internet sites via a single search interface, and allows Texans in remote areas and in rapidly growing but underserved counties to find and use information from their computer desktops. The integrated search interface allows immediate and user-friendly access to these resources. Through the Library of Texas program, the smallest, most remote library can access the same resources as a research library.

The State Records Center is also an example of a collaborative solution. Use of this low cost, high-density storage facility enables state agencies to achieve almost $71 million in cost avoidance. Approximately 9,700 state and local government offices currently use the services of our State and Local Records Management division. Government information is an asset and a resource that requires careful management, and there is a constant demand for our records management consulting and training services. State and county agencies and public universities also rely on us to help them determine which of their records have permanent, archival value. The increased use of new information technologies among government offices creates a greater demand for advanced and intensive consultations and training sessions.

State government and the Texans they serve also benefit from the services of our State Publications Clearinghouse and Texas Records and Information Locator Service (TRAIL). Together these services ensure that state government publications and the valuable information
they contain are available throughout the state, through a network of depository libraries and an online system for one-stop access to state publications in electronic form. A new Electronic Depository Program builds on these efforts to ensure that electronic state publications are preserved for use by future generations.

Those seeking information are increasingly turning to the Web for answers. As a result, our customer base has grown exponentially. Technology has heightened customers’ expectations for services and rapid response times, and as a result, we have made major progress in providing information and services to our patrons through a variety of new avenues. We must balance these new demands with the needs of Texans who cannot or do not have the resources to take advantage of new technology, so that no Texans are left under-served.
TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

A. IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGY ON CURRENT OPERATIONS

Technology continues to change the world in which we live in very dramatic ways. This transformation poses major challenges to the Texas State Library and Archives Commission and the services we provide our customers.

Automated and networked applications are essential elements in the delivery of services to our customers. The Internet has opened paths to information worldwide, and we are systematically taking steps to optimize our use of new technologies to serve our customers better.

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

We take advantage of the Internet and Web-based services to provide information to more customers. We provide an increasing number of our documents on our Web site (www.tsl.state.tx.us), such as the Texas Public and Academic Library Statistics, the Texas Reading Club manual, and the Texas Library Jobline. The number of electronic documents received by the Federal Documents Depository continues to increase, and the federal government is making an increasing number of documents available only in electronic format.

HB 2473, passed by the 79th Legislature, enables us to provide for more efficient management of state publications in print format by adopting new technologies. The agency previously was limited to use of microform format to meet its mandate to provide for the preservation of and access to state publications. HB 2473 broadens the options open to us by replacing “microform” with “alternative formats.” Our Texas State Publications Depository Program will determine the best technologies and formats to guarantee efficient and cost-effective future access to the information contained in print state publications.

Texas documents are also increasingly available online. The Texas Records and Information Locator (TRAIL), which provides one-stop access to electronic state publications (www.tsl.state.tx.us/trail) is now in its sixth operational year. TRAIL indexes and provides searching of more than 74,000 Texas state electronic publications, allowing state agency staff to find information produced by other agencies.

Talking Book Program patrons can access and download over 8,000 titles from Web-Braille, an Internet, Web-based service provided by the National Library Service for the Blind and Physically Handicapped (NLS). This service, introduced in 1999, makes available in an electronic format many Braille books and all Braille magazines produced by NLS, as well as titles from the NLS music collections in Braille.

TexShare’s database service currently provides 19 databases to academic libraries, public libraries, and libraries of clinical medicine. Because of budget cuts to the program, this number represents a 69 percent decrease from the peak of the service. The database service offers full-text articles from 9420 journals, 118,360 primary source documents, and over 28,000 unique e-book titles. TexShare databases are available even in the most remote, rural locations across Texas. The Library of Texas (LoT) service enhances this access by providing an interface where Texans can search.
across TexShare databases and the online library catalogs of Texas libraries with a single, simple search. Students, consumers, and researchers rely on these services for current, accurate information on health, technology, business, and a host of other topics.

The TexNet Interlibrary Loan program has a long history of supporting Texas libraries that share resources with each other. We have automated much of the labor-intensive record-keeping necessary to track the movement of materials through interlibrary loan systems by adopting and customizing ILLiad interlibrary loan software, serving TSLAC and five additional ILL hub libraries. Use of ILLiad software has allowed us to manage a system-wide growth rate in our TexNet Centers of 22 percent over the past four years.

We continue to digitize archival and library resources and host them on our Web site. By the end of FY2006 we will have more than 300,000 digital images of original archival documents available online to researchers. Online exhibits showcase some of the most significant documents from our collections of Texas history and provide historical background and interpretive information. We will develop at least one new exhibit each year, as well as add to the existing online searchable databases of the collections of archival state records thereby enabling thousands of teachers, school children, and others to easily find the information they need.

Our library staff are exceptional online researchers, which allows us to answer an increasing number of reference questions by referring clients directly to those readily-available electronic resources.

We also organize information by making an electronic catalog of all of our collections available via the web (http://star.tsl.state.tx.us/uhtbin/webcat) and via our Web site. The catalog allows the public to know what resources are available from us, and in many cases allows immediate access to those resources that are available electronically.

Using the integrated library system component of the electronic catalog, the Texas State Publications Depository Program compiles a file of bibliographic records for the printed documents in each shipment that is sent to depository libraries throughout the state. Depository libraries have the option to receive, without cost, these batch file records and to upload them into their own electronic catalogs. Doing so enhances local access to state government information. Some depository libraries pay a fee to have their document holdings noted in the consortial catalog of the Online Computer Library Center (OCLC), a computer library research and service organization. By noting their Texas document holdings on OCLC, depository libraries increase access to Texas government information on a national and global level.

We work to continuously improve the search capabilities of our most in-demand online archival and reference materials. We use online registration methods for agency training events for librarians and state and local government staff. We now make most of our grant forms available on the Web and continue to work towards having all forms on the Web and available for submission directly via the Web.

Our increased Web presence has raised new security, maintenance, and training issues agency-wide. The rapid pace of technological change requires software upgrades and software patches for security and functionality. Our Information Resources Technologies staff stays abreast of trends in security, as new software and services create new possibilities for abuse of the systems. In
addition, we schedule network architecture upgrades and replace obsolete network equipment to take better advantage of computer technology and telecommunications to deliver our services.

We comply with all legislative initiatives for organizing, securing, and enhancing state agency Web sites and for improving customer services.

EFFICIENCY OF OUR OPERATION

We use technological advances to streamline and automate many of our services, saving both time and money for the agency and our patrons.

Enormous amounts of library and historical resources are available via our Web site, including online catalogs, manuals, newsletters, databases, indexes, answers to frequently asked questions, and digital images of original archival materials. There has been a corresponding expansion in the amount of similar information resources being made available on other state and federal Web sites. With the passage of HB 423 (79th Legislature), state agencies’ subscription publications must be available via agency Web sites. Consequently, growing numbers of researchers are able to obtain desired information from our Web site and other Web sites without ever having to consult with a member of our staff. Even when contacted directly by researchers, it is now frequently more efficient, effective and timely for our staff to provide those customers, particularly those seeking assistance via e-mail, with the locations of Web sites/pages that contain online textual, graphical, or database resources that provide the exact information being requested.

Operating in a networked environment has significantly increased the range of services and resources that we are able to provide our customers. We, like so many other libraries, have become a twenty-four-hour-a-day access point to information services where users obtain services and resources on their terms. In this way, we are using Internet technology to empower our users and accomplish more of our goals.

The automation of services has also created easier reporting procedures for local governments, other constituent groups, and our staff. Public and academic librarians now complete and submit their annual reports via the Web. Librarians find the process easier and quicker, and automation decreases mathematical errors. Librarians are also able to download grant applications, guidelines, and forms, and complete reports for the Loan Star Libraries grant online. We are working on an integrated grant management system.

Reporting capabilities between the Talking Book Program and its parent organization, the National Library Service (NLS) at the Library of Congress continue to shift from paper to electronic means, saving time and improving accuracy. NLS instituted a Web-based reporting system for statistical reports from the state programs.

We utilize technology to efficiently automate and manage many of our functions, streamlining agency procedures. Staff throughout the Talking Book Program (TBP) relies on its own in-house automated bibliographic, inventory, and circulation system to track the status and location of materials, as well as access patron account information. This system is highly regarded by many within the NLS network and is looked to as a model for development of automated operations in other parts of the network. TBP staff also assists patrons by using a variety of Internet resources,
including Web-BLND, the Library of Congress's Web-based catalog of books available in Braille and audio formats.

In Jan. 2006, the State Records Center installed a new records tracking system. This system, developed by OmniRim Solutions of Vancouver, Washington, will permit using agencies to determine what records they have in storage and to arrange for the pickup and retrieval of records via the Internet. The new system replaces an application developed by the library and used for twelve years. We will coordinate a beta-test of the new system with selected agencies in late FY2006. The system will be made available to all storing agencies in early FY2007. A records retention schedule module, part of this new system, will be customized and made available to agencies in FY2008.

Our accounting office uses automation technology to accomplish its daily tasks. Files such as voucher and deposit numbering logs, charts of accounts, and electronic copies of reports and their documentation are shared across the network. Our accounting staff has access to purchasing files, enabling the electronic creation of purchase vouchers from purchase orders and eliminating duplicate data entry. In Apr. 2006, we began scanning order and voucher documents and storing them on the network. This has eliminated the need provide photocopies of these documents program divisions for budget tracking purposes.

Our accounting staff enters all budgetary, expenditure, encumbrance, and revenue transactions online directly into the Uniform Statewide Accounting System (USAS) maintained by the Comptroller’s Office. As such, we are considered an “internal” agency of the system for reporting and monitoring purposes. USAS is our system of record. Each morning, the previous day’s transactions and the daily reports from USAS are copied from the Comptroller’s system and imported into the Ad Hoc Reporting System (AHRS) database, our internal accounting database. The AHRS database is used by our division directors and accounting staff to extract financial information at the transaction detail level. Our link to the Comptroller’s office is vital. Our technical staff works with the technical staff at the Comptroller’s office to insure a secure channel for this information exchange.

Three times a week, revenue deposits are hand-delivered to the state Treasury. Simultaneously, entries are made into USAS for the electronic distribution of that revenue to the appropriate strategies. Our federal grant payments are dependent upon wire transfers from the federal distribution center in Washington, D.C. to the state Treasury, which then notifies our accounting staff, via e-mail, of the arrival of federal grant funds.

Our accounting staff uses Internet access to meet legislative reporting requirements by completing online reports for the Legislative Budget Board and the Comptroller’s office. Access to the text of state and federal legislation impacting the agency is available via the Internet. Many state agencies, especially the Comptroller’s office, distribute information on policy changes and procedures electronically on their Web sites rather than sending hard copies to all agencies. Generally, staff receives an e-mail notification of new procedures, publications, or agency reporting requirements. The Comptroller has also made Web training available to meet the requirements of using USAS.

The agency’s business records are among its most important information resources, essential to its operations and services to the public, and are increasingly created and maintained in electronic
The records and information management program endeavors to manage that information in a timely, accurate, and cost-effective manner and in compliance with state and federal statutes. The agency uses technology to enhance its services, and the records management program plans to integrate the management of the agency’s business processes, documents, files, and records through automation to improve the flow of information in the organization. Efforts will be made to identify those processes that can be standardized, automated, or combined to build continuity within the agency. Training programs and information will be developed for staff that will empower them to continuously improve the way they create, index, handle, store, and maintain information.

**NEW SERVICES**

Technological advances within the commission create new opportunities for services that benefit Texans, state and local governments, and Texas libraries and librarians. The access to services through the Internet on our Web site, as well as e-mail access to our divisions, is a tremendous benefit to our customers. We expect to continue to upgrade and improve our Web site to make it easier to use and navigate.

As more of our customers use electronic mail and the Internet, the number of requests for information and consulting services grows. Web-based registration for workshops has been a welcome service. In addition to online registration, customers automatically receive a confirmation notice.

As Internet and electronic services become more prevalent in the library community, the need for adequate technology training also grows. Our Continuing Education and Consulting (CE/C) Department staff provides training for Texas librarians in the use of library technology, including new trends, TexShare database resources, the Library of Texas, and online resources. This department includes two staff members well versed in various aspects of technology. One consultant helps librarians in the areas of telecommunications, integrated library systems, and Web-based services. The second consultant specifically deals with various distance learning opportunities and technologies.

The CE/C Department provides statewide access to library-related satellite teleconferences. These teleconferences became available via Webcast this year, allowing library staff to receive this training directly at their desktops. The department has also implemented interactive Web-based courses developed both in-house and by contract.

Our staff implemented a network-based videoconferencing system across the ten regional library systems. This system gives us the opportunity to deliver needed training on essential topics more efficiently for our clients, allowing divisions to have face-to-face meetings with clients, provide continuing education to targeted audiences, and provide targeted consulting. In 2005 we opened the network to permit us to connect with other videoconference sites around the state, greatly expanding the scope and reach of this service. The training and consulting staff of our State and Local Records Management Division, in particular, is planning a major expansion of its training services through the introduction of distance learning opportunities to our state agency and local government customers.

In addition to improving Web-based information and services to our external clients, we also
improved our internal Web-based information and communications for our employees by establishing a networked intranet environment. Employees are able to post, maintain, and access policy and procedural information with just a few clicks of a mouse.

Many public libraries do not have Web sites, or have very poor ones. To help public libraries develop a Web presence and the capability of offering online service, we are collaborating with other state library agencies in the development and distribution of a library content management system. This system, called Plinkit (Public Library Information Kit), will allow local libraries to easily develop a robust Web site. We will be hosting the software and training regional system staff to help their libraries implement this new service.

The agency has taken administrative responsibility for an Institute of Library and Museum Services (IMLS)-funded National Leadership Grant to fund the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative (THDI). THDI will broaden and streamline access to Texas institutions’ historical and cultural resources through the Internet. In this project, the Texas State Library and Archives Commission and its eleven partners will develop seamless, integrated access to primary resource materials through the federated search capability of the Library of Texas. The project will use open source software in support of international standards such as the Open Archives Initiative (OAI) and the Z39.50 information retrieval protocol.

OUR PARTNERSHIPS

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission collaborates with other entities to strengthen our technology-based services and assist other state agencies.

The development and growth of commission services, such as TRAIL, increase our liaison and collaboration with other state agencies. TRAIL organizes the wealth of electronic information produced by state agencies and academic institutions and enables users to find information about state government efficiently.

We are also participating as a founding member in the Texas Archival Resources Online (TARO) Project. The project has established an online repository of archival resources for use by every Texan who has access to the Internet. The initial content of the repository will be a database consisting of collection descriptions, or "finding aids," that the member archives and libraries create to assist users in locating information in their holdings. The archival community has developed a standard method of creating online finding aids and archives, special collections and museums around the world are adopting this standard. This database is maintained on a server at The University of Texas at Austin campus. We have contributed over 500 finding aids to the project. Other participants in the TARO project include: Alexander Architectural Archives, University of Texas at Austin; Austin History Center; Benson Latin American Collection, University of Texas at Austin; Center for American History, University of Texas at Austin; Cushing Memorial Library, Texas A&M University; Harry Ransom Humanities Research Center, University of Texas at Austin; Houston Metropolitan Research Center, Houston Public Library; Southern Methodist University; Southwest Collection/Special Collections Library, Texas Tech University; Tarlton Law Library, University of Texas at Austin; University of Houston Libraries, Special Collections and Archives; University of Texas at San Antonio; University of Texas at Arlington Libraries, Special Collections; and, Woodson Research Center, Rice University.
The Texas State Library and Archives Commission has joined with libraries, museums, archives, and other institutions statewide to provide online access to cultural heritage resources. The Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative will establish standards and “best practices” for digitization efforts, establish regional digital imaging labs, provide educational context for these materials, identify and prioritize source materials, and build a portal for access to the digitized collections. TSLAC has taken on administrative responsibility for the grant project, “Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative: Bringing Texas History and Culture to the Desktop,” that will make the portal for these digitized collections a reality. Our partners in this grant project are Austin Public Library, Dallas Public Library, Fort Bend County Museum, North Richland Hills Public Library, Stephen F. Austin University, Texas A&M University, Texas General Land Office, Texas Historical Commission, University of North Texas, University of Texas at Arlington, University of Texas at Austin.

Presently we extend our Web-based catalog to a limited number of state agencies for them to add their collections. These agencies include the State Law Library, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Comptroller of Public Accounts (Technical Library), and Department of Information Resources. These agencies also use other modules of our library automation system, such as circulation control, serials control, and cataloging. However, due to limited staff resources, and the diversity of the agencies’ needs and collections, it is impractical to extend the service beyond the current group of agencies.

The 79th Texas Legislature passed HB1516, which mandates the consolidation of data centers across state agencies. The goal of this consolidation is to provide more efficient service to the citizens of Texas through the agencies’ efficient use of technology. The State Library has signed a contract with the Texas Department of Information Resources (DIR) to purchase data center services through DIR. DIR will contract with a service provider to operate one or more consolidated data centers. HB1516 will significantly alter the way the library receives technology services. We expect to build a productive partnership with DIR for these services and to use this relationship to help us provide even more and better services to our patrons across the state.

Partnerships allow us to accomplish more of our technology-based goals and strengthen the effectiveness of state government.

B. DEGREE OF AGENCY AUTOMATION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission supports mission-critical client-server applications and Web-based services on approximately 40 servers and over 200 desktop computers across three physical locations, a TCP/IP-based Ethernet local area network (LAN), and full service connectivity to the LAN, TEX-AN, and Internet. The Lorenzo de Zavala Building is connected to CAPnet via a fiber optic line which provides 10 megabits-per-second access. The State Records Center and Talking Book facilities at 4400 Shoal Creek Boulevard, and the Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center in Liberty are all connected to the Lorenzo de Zavala Building by T-1 lines.
We maintain database applications to:

- support the operations and service of the Talking Book Program
- support the management of the flow of records to and from state agencies
- provide detailed financial information required to manage the agency's financial resources
- track the use of collections in the Archives and Information Services Division
- support the management of the agency’s internal records management program.

We also use the unified state systems provided by the Comptroller, including:

- Uniform State Personnel System for the management of personnel and payroll
- Uniform State Accounting System for accounting and management of funds
- Uniform State Resources/Property Management for inventory control.

Consortium services include the Integrated Library System and the cataloging and Interlibrary Loan service.

We share our Web-based catalog and the other modules of the integrated library system in a consortium arrangement with four other state agency libraries. Because of this arrangement, these state agency libraries are able to use the system for cataloging, retrieving, circulating and reporting on their collections at a very minimal cost. We use Unicorn library system software purchased from and supported by SIRSI, Inc. to provide these services.

Cataloging and Interlibrary Loan services are purchased from OCLC and provide cataloging and other related library services for the agency and state agency partners.

LAN and Internet services include:

- our Web site that makes available collections of information from a growing number of libraries, state agencies, and commercial sources, as well as extensive indexes to the holdings in our various collections and value-added data compiled by staff
- the Texas Records and Information Locator service (TRAIL), a commercial application to gather and index the electronic documents of all state agencies that are made available via electronic networks
- distance learning technologies such as the videoconferencing network installed across the ten library regions of Texas and Web-based learning modules by the state library staff that facilitate librarian and records management training
- electronic mail and network services
- online backups of all systems on the network
- a document management system to provide more efficient storing and access of documents.
C. IMPACT OF ANTICIPATED TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES

Over the next five to ten years, all of the current services of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission will increasingly be performed and delivered electronically. These changes will affect not only how the agency does business, but will also affect the agency’s client groups, their environments, and how they do business. For many organizations, information is a tool, and the shift from paper to electronic information is merely an improvement in their access to the tool. For libraries and archives, whose business is acquiring, organizing, storing, and providing information, the shift from paper to electronic information is both significant and crucial.

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Technological advances will continue to create new opportunities and challenges for the commission in providing information to Texas citizens, state and local government, and Texas libraries and librarians.

Commercial, state and federal government publishing, and government recordkeeping at all levels, will continue to move to online, network-accessible formats. State agencies have found it challenging to retain archival copies of state government documents that have been issued in electronic format. Pricing and licensing agreements with commercial publishers will remain unstable and difficult to negotiate for a number of years, until authors, publishers, etc., have established procedures and standards for online market behavior.

We lack the technology and sufficiently trained staff to permit us to accept state agencies’ electronic archival records. Consequently, we require through administrative rule that electronic state records that have archival value must be maintained by the creating agency, except as otherwise determined by the state archivist, even if the records are no longer of value to the agency. They must be maintained through hardware and software upgrades as authentic evidence of the state’s business in an accessible and searchable form. Regrettably, we lack the resources to provide our staff with the necessary education and expertise to train other agency staff in the areas of current and developing standards, available software, and other technological assistance in regard to the long-term maintenance and preservation of archivally valuable electronic records.

The public’s familiarity with online resource discovery continues to increase, as does their demand for broader access to library catalogs and a user-friendly way to cross search these catalogs. As public awareness of the rich resources available grows, so also does their demand for quick retrieval of these resources. We must be prepared to provide the technology to answer these demands.

Providing access to information is a basic responsibility of public libraries. They provide access to networked, online information for Texans who do not have access to these resources at home or work. This includes electronic publishing of community resources, distance learning support, free access to online information resources, continuing education, videoconferencing services for the public, and computer and Web-based training.

The Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative will provide online access to cultural heritage resources.
This project will establish standards and “best practices” for digitization efforts, establish regional digital imaging labs, provide educational context for these materials, identify and prioritize source materials, and will make the portal for these digitized collections a reality.

**EFFICIENCY OF OUR OPERATION**

Improvements in Web-based technologies offer limitless opportunities to increase cost avoidance while streamlining agency procedures and maintaining a high level of customer service. As more services are moved to automated systems, and the business environment continues to shift to Web-based systems, the agency’s human resources must also adapt to maintain effectiveness.

The need for direct intervention by reference staff to answer research inquiries continues to decrease as a result of increased availability of network based information resources and services. Some of those staff have been trained in procedures to digitize original archival and library materials and to develop the databases and other online indexes designed to assist researchers in locating and accessing the digital images. Newer and more efficient scanners and computers are needed to support those efforts.

Over 95 percent of the public libraries in Texas have Internet connections, but increased bandwidth and sophistication of those connections is needed. More people are using the Internet to access services, and the need for electronic services, training, and support increases—thus straining the agency's technical and human resources. Widespread connectivity will allow new methods of communicating among libraries and create opportunities for increased resource sharing, such as more effective interlibrary lending of paper materials, substitution of electronic for paper materials, shared subscriptions to online resources, and improved tools for statewide resource discovery.

**NEW SERVICES**

Technology offers us new and improved opportunities for service to our customers.

Connectivity of client groups continues to increase, and transactions with client groups are expected to increasingly shift from paper mail, telephone, and personal contacts to electronic transactions. Electronic discussion groups, online training, computer-based training, and videoconferencing are replacing some meetings and workshops.

Within this biennium, Continuing Education and Consulting staff and our records management training staff will use the Web to provide learning experiences that will enhance current in-person workshops. Stand alone Web-based continuing education opportunities will be expanded. We will use a new Web-based courseware software package that will allow for the creation of both real-time online courses and self-directed online courses. We will add the capability to record the real-time sessions and convert them into streaming media that will be made available via our Web site.

Librarians across the state name continuing education for library staff as one of their greatest needs. In addition to the state library, the regional library systems and others provide continuing education opportunities. In order to better coordinate this training statewide and provide the most
comprehensive and up-to-date information on workshops available to library staff, we are developing a portal that will provide this information in one place, easily accessible through the Internet.

Our Talking Book Program, as part of the National Library Service for the Blind and Physically Handicapped network, is preparing for the major operational changes that will be implemented throughout the network over the next few years. Staff has developed plans for a comprehensive upgrade to the program’s web page that will make the page fully accessible and include many new patron services, including allowing patrons to access their accounts, access information through RSS feeds, and download digital books from multiple sources. The volunteer recording studios have been converted to digital recording processes, and the audio duplication workroom soon will be networked with the studios to allow easy transfer of digital files between workstations in both areas. The studio archive of over 6,000 analog recordings soon will be converted to digital files. Staff is investigating new types of book production software, which offer the possibilities of producing any single book into multiple formats for our patrons’ use, including Braille and large print.

OUR PARTNERSHIPS

We will continue to embrace partnerships as tools to enhance our services to the citizens of Texas. Technological advances have enabled more productive collaborations between entities due to improved communications systems and software developments.

Network technology has opened up possibilities of shared services for client groups who where once served by separate agencies such as the Texas State Library and Archives Commission, Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, and Texas Education Agency. These collaborations are expected to continue to flourish.

Partnerships will continue to play an important role in the conversion of documents to an electronic or Internet format. We anticipate continued involvement with initiatives like the Texas Archival Resources Online Project (TARO) and the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative (THDI). We have negotiated agreements with both the Texas General Land Office and the Texas Water Development Board for the scanning of historical maps, architectural drawings, and other large format materials from the State Archives.

The Talking Book Program (TBP) has developed working relationships with other organizations and entities that serve a common client base. These include governmental agencies, non-profit groups, and private organizations. TBP also actively seeks partnerships with public libraries, as well as public and private schools.
D. DIRECTION OF AGENCY AUTOMATION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS

The information resources strategy for the next five years will address the following:

- increase user direct access to services via Web-based applications
- maintain a productive partnership with DIR and the consolidated data center service provider to obtain the required information technology services to support the programs of the library
- maintain telecommunication bandwidth to support user direct access
- maintain the open-source Linux operating system as an agency standard
- continue implementation of security technologies and standards
- continue enhancement of videoconferencing and Web-based training capabilities
- implement a grants management system
- adopt standards and technologies to maximize staff productivity
- develop an Electronic Records Retention Submission and Approval System.

INCREASE USER DIRECT ACCESS TO SERVICES VIA WEB-BASED APPLICATIONS

The State and Local Records Management new automated system will allow state agency users to enter information related to the storage of their records over Internet-based e-mail. Migrating applications such as this to web-based systems will provide more and better services to users without increasing staff resources. External customers access the agency's networked services via the Internet; therefore, our emphasis on web functionality as an effective delivery method will continue to be a primary strategy.

Our Talking Book Program currently is redesigning its web page to allow direct access to its in-house automation system. Patrons will be able to review their account information on their own, place orders for books from the collections, download digital books to their computers, and read a variety of other available information through RSS feeds.

MAINTAIN A PRODUCTIVE PARTNERSHIP WITH DIR AND THE CONSOLIDATED DATA CENTER SERVICE PROVIDER TO OBTAIN THE REQUIRED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES TO SUPPORT THE PROGRAMS OF THE LIBRARY

The implementation of HB1516 will greatly impact the way the library receives information technology services and how it delivers services to its patrons and business partners. The library is one of 27 Texas state agencies who have signed a contract to purchase IT services through DIR from a consolidated data center service provider. The systems that house and deliver the library’s data will be relocated in 2007 to the consolidated data center. The goal of this consolidation is to have state agencies benefit from economies of scale in the purchase of technology services, remove the burden of managing this technology, and allow the agencies to concentrate on their real business which is to deliver services to the citizens of Texas. The library staff will work
closely with DIR to ensure adequate and reliable information technology services are available to support the programs of the library.

**MAINTAIN TELECOMMUNICATION BANDWIDTH TO SUPPORT USER DIRECT ACCESS**

The library is heavily dependent on its web presence to deliver some of its services. With the renovation of the Lorenzo de Zavala Archives building occurring at the same time as the implementation of HB1516 requiring the consolidation of state data centers, it will be a challenge to ensure that the library continues to have the required bandwidth to conduct its operations. The partnership with DIR for data center services can provide a practical strategy for the library to address future needs for bandwidth and new technologies.

**MAINTAIN THE OPEN-SOURCE LINUX OPERATING SYSTEM AS AN AGENCY STANDARD**

We continue to use the open-source Linux operating system as an agency standard and are increasing the number of applications under this efficient, hardware independent platform. We will implement a Linux-based grants management system for use by agency staff as well as Texas public libraries that receive grants through the state library. We are also working to implement a Linux-based content management system that will allow some 200 small Texas public libraries to maintain a web presence. These applications will improve our ability to provide services to our patrons.

**CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION OF SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES AND STANDARDS**

Our increased use of the Internet to deliver services is accompanied by an increase in the security threats to the integrity of the agency's information resources. Although most of our information is public information, we must still provide effective methods of preventing unauthorized access or modification of agency information. We continue to implement appropriate and effective security standards that meet state requirements and effective technologies to ensure the safety of the agency's information resources.

**CONTINUE ENHANCEMENT OF VIDEOCONFERENCING AND WEB-BASED LEARNING TRAINING CAPABILITIES**

As connectivity of client groups increases, electronic discussion groups, online training, computer-based training, and videoconferencing are replacing some meetings and workshops. Videoconferencing is becoming a key method of delivering client group training for some of the agency's programs. The library will continue to explore available options for maintaining an efficient videoconferencing network.

**IMPLEMENT A GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

In the last biennium, we implemented a document management system for our grants program. The new system allows digital images to be centrally stored and accessed for grant management.
purposes and help the agency manage our grants more efficiently. The library will also implement a grants management database system of fiscal and performance data, which will complement and expand the utility of the document imaging system. This enhancement will allow the Texas public libraries to submit grant applications and budgets and expenditures via a Web interface.

**ADOPT STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGIES TO MAXIMIZE STAFF PRODUCTIVITY**

As the number of automated processes, applications, and services increase, our client groups are also increasing. The level of technical and Internet sophistication of these groups is growing as is their expectations for expansion of Web-based services. By implementing information resources standards the IRT staff is able to plan, implement, and support new technologies, and maximize the efficiency of staff resources.

**DEVELOP AN ELECTRONIC RECORDS RETENTION SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL SYSTEM**

Currently, the 134 state agencies required to submit records retention schedules to the commission do so in paper. To streamline the approval process and to improve the timeliness, we intend to develop and implement an electronic submission and approval system no later than the end of 2008. In a survey of our state agency customers, an electronic submission system was their number one suggestion for improving our service delivery.
Chapter Six

IMPACT OF FEDERAL STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

A. HISTORICAL INVOLVEMENT OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

In 1931 Texas became one of the first states to affiliate with the newly formed National Library Service (NLS) for the Blind and Physically Handicapped, a division of the Library of Congress in Washington, D.C. Today, using recorded cassette and Braille books, catalogs, special playback equipment, and a Braille database supplied by NLS, the Texas State Library and Archives Commission's Talking Book Program serves almost 21,000 Texans who would not be able to enjoy books without this vital service.

From 1956 through 1998, the commission received federal funds under the Library Services Act and its successor, the Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA), to assist local public library development. Federal funds were used to advance a statewide interlibrary loan system, fund the Texas Library System, provide grants for public library construction and renovation, promote library services to the disadvantaged, promote interlibrary cooperation and resource sharing, and support other projects to improve library service statewide. In 1996, Public Law 104-208, the Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) replaced the Library Services and Construction Act. LSTA consolidated all federal support for libraries into one piece of legislation and moved library funding out of the U.S. Department of Education to a newly organized Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS). While several projects funded under LSCA continued with LSTA funds, the new Act placed greater emphasis on programs that support technology in libraries, promote cooperative efforts among all types of libraries, and support library services to people of diverse geographic, cultural, and socio-economic backgrounds. Programs funded with LSTA began in FY1998. LSTA was re-authorized in FY2003.

In 1964, TSLAC was designated a regional depository for federal documents, in accordance with 44 United States Code 1912. This agency is one of only two regional depositories in the state, and serves the 59 Texas libraries that are selective depositories. Selective depositories are libraries that receive only a portion of documents distributed by the Depository Library Program of the U.S. Government Printing Office and retain the documents for a limited period of time. Regional depositories receive all publications distributed through the Depository Library Program and retain them permanently.

The Schools and Libraries Universal Service Program (commonly called E-Rate) was established as part of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 with the express purpose of providing affordable access to telecommunications services for all eligible schools and libraries, particularly those in rural and inner-city areas. This federal program provides discounts of 20-90 percent on telecommunications services, Internet access, and internal connections. Since 1997, Texas public libraries have been required to submit a technology plan to TSLAC for approval in order to be eligible to receive the federal discount. Agency staff provide assistance to public libraries in
developing, revising, and certifying the technology plans and ensuring that libraries are aware of the available discounts. From 1998-2004 (the first seven years of the E-Rate program), Texas public libraries have received $12,660,178 in telecommunications discounts.

**B. DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT FEDERAL ACTIVITIES**

Under LSTA, federal funds can comprise only 66 percent of program costs, and require a 34 percent matching investment. Administrative costs are limited to four percent of federal funds received; the remaining 96 percent must be used for direct projects or grants. Agency staff began applying outcome-based evaluation methods to selected grant projects during FY2002 and will expand use of this evaluation method to encompass the remainder of the agency’s federally funded programs by FY2007. There is also a required maintenance of effort for the federal funds.

The use of the federal funds is governed by an approved five-year plan. The current five-year LSTA plan covering FY2003-2007 was approved by IMLS at the end of FY2002. The required evaluation of the 2003-2007 Plan is due in March 2007, and a new five-year plan for FY2008-2011 will be due in July 2007.

As one of 53 regional depositories for federal government publications in the nation, the Texas State Library and Archives Commission permanently maintains and provides free access to more than 1.4 million items produced by the federal government. The U.S. Government Printing Office, under authority of the Depository Library Act distributes these items. As a regional depository, we must meet minimum standards for maintaining these documents. Periodic onsite visits are made to review TSLAC's collection and services.

Technology is changing the role of government depository libraries. Regulations and amendments to the Paperwork Reduction Act, Printing Act, Depository Library Act, and the Government Printing Office Electronic Information Access Enhancement Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-40) have extended the definition of government publications beyond paper formats. The federal government is now providing an increasing amount of information in electronic formats, and often publishes exclusively in electronic form.

In Dec. 1996, the Government Printing Office (GPO) issued its *Study to Identify Measures Necessary for a Successful Transition to a More Electronic Federal Depository Library Program* as required by legislation. In this document, GPO outlined several principles fundamental to a depository library system, regardless of format. These principles include:

- The government has an obligation to guarantee the authenticity and integrity of its information.
- The government has an obligation to preserve its information.
The study set a number of goals to ensure that electronic government resources receive treatment similar to other formats. The study also called for an increased investment in federal depository libraries to guarantee continuing public access to electronic resources.

The migration to electronic resources has skyrocketed in Texas government. Almost all state agencies have Web sites, and agencies are issuing many publications exclusively through the Internet to reduce publication costs and increase access to the information.

The agency's Texas Records and Information Locator service (TRAIL - www.tsl.state.tx.us/trail) provides public access to the electronic publications issued by Texas state agencies. The Electronic Depository Program (EDP) expands TRAIL to ensure that public access is both complete and enduring. Digital preservation affords Texans permanent and comprehensive access to state government resources.

On Dec. 23, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) released its Third Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-323. This FNPRM addresses several matters related to the administration of the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism:

- to consider changes that would fine tune FCC rules to improve program operation
- to ensure that the benefits of this universal service support mechanism for schools and libraries are distributed in a manner that is fair and equitable
- to improve FCC oversight of this program to ensure that the goals are met without waste, fraud, or abuse.

The federal Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA) was signed into law in Dec. 2000. Under CIPA, no public library may use Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) funds or receive federal Universal Service (E-Rate) discounts unless it certifies that it is enforcing a policy of Internet safety that includes the use of filtering or blocking technology (see below). This Internet Safety Policy must protect users from accessing through the Internet obscene visual depictions, child pornography, or (in the case of use by minors) content harmful to minors. The library must also certify that it is enforcing the operation of filtering or blocking technology during any use of its computers by minors. For E-Rate, the law became effective Jul. 1, 2003. For LSTA funds, FY2004 federal funds are the first to be affected. Libraries using LSTA or E-Rate funds only on Telecommunications Services are excluded from the requirements of CIPA. Following challenges in FY2003, the United States Supreme Court upheld the law.

C. ANTICIPATED IMPACT OF FUTURE FEDERAL ACTIONS

The Government Printing Office is steadily moving toward an electronic federal depository library program; the number of paper and microfiche products is diminishing. Increasingly libraries will be required to purchase robust telecommunications and Internet access services, and provide more computer hardware and software to meet public demand for access to networked government information.
Depository libraries serve as centers for access to historical and current federal government information. The federal Depository Library Program provides access to both print and electronic publications not widely available.

The Government Printing Office (GPO) is working with other partners to develop a system for providing permanent public access to electronic versions of federal government information. Participants involved in the development include government agencies, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), and the depository library community. For example, in August 2003 GPO and NARA signed a Memorandum of Understanding that will make GPO an official archival affiliate and all GPO Access databases the official archival copies.

GPO will continue to distribute electronic items through the depository program. The Library and Archives Commission currently owns more than 2,000 such items. Besides storage requirements to provide the service, the agency must also provide adequate computer workstations for the public to use while accessing the information. The minimum requirements for public workstations issued by GPO continue to reflect the cutting edge of technology and will require the agency to upgrade hardware and software periodically to meet the requirements.

The Library Services and Technology Act will come up for re-authorization in 2007. These funds provide a large portion of the agency’s budget.
OTHER LEGAL ISSUES

A. IMPACT OF ANTICIPATED STATUTORY CHANGES

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission will be undergoing “Sunset” review during the 80th Texas Legislature. We submitted a self-evaluation report in FY2005 to the Texas Sunset Advisory Commission. In the report, we identified eight policy issues we feel merit consideration by the Sunset Commission. We distributed the report widely among our constituent groups, and provided an online form in which customers could comment on the policy issues or other matters related to our functions in state government. The eight policy issues appear below. A more comprehensive discussion of all of the issues, as well as a timeline and other resources, is available on our Web site, www.tsl.state.tx.us/agency/sunset/.

POLICY ISSUES

1. Should the state’s interest in preserving, protecting, and promoting its cultural resources continue to be administered by separate agencies, or should there be some reorganization or consolidation of these agencies?

2. How effective are the current requirements for county librarian certification? Should these requirements be expanded to all public library directors? Should these requirements be expanded to include all public librarians? Should these requirements be expanded to library directors in school and academic libraries? Should these requirements be repealed?

3. How should the Texas Library Systems Act be amended to enable it to continue to assist the agency in improving and developing libraries to best meet the needs of the people of Texas?

4. Should the Talking Book Program remain at the Texas State Library and Archives Commission or be moved to another agency, such as to a division of the Health and Human Services Commission?

5. Should a records filing fee be levied to fund the grant program established in the Government Code, §441.157, in order for the commission to carry out its statutory obligations, both explicit and implicit, to protect and preserve the historical government records of Texas?

6. What should be the role of TSLAC in supporting K-12 public school libraries?

7. What should be the agency role in supporting statewide digitization of Texas cultural heritage materials?

8. The enabling statutes of the Library and Archives Commission need to be revised.

The Sunset Advisory Commission staff will collect information and issue a report in Oct. 2006, and a public hearing will be held Nov. 14-15, 2006. The Sunset Commission’s recommendations will be considered during the 80th Texas Legislature, and the probable effective date of actions taken by the legislature would be Sept. 1, 2007.
B. IMPACT OF CURRENT AND OUTSTANDING COURT CASES

Under the Right of Recovery statute (§441.192), the Texas State Library and Archives Commission has made claims on documents that are part of the estates of the late Robert E. Davis and the late Irma Lou Wilcox. Neither claim was honored. Therefore, the Attorney General of Texas has filed suit in Travis County against Mary Ann Davis and Robert E. Davis Family Trust and in Jefferson County against the Estate of Irma Lou Wilcox.

C. IMPACT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENTS

We are not aware of any new local government requirements.
SELF-EVALUATION AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

A. MEETING LEGAL REQUIREMENTS AND SERVING CRITICAL POPULATIONS

LIBRARY RESOURCE SHARING

Government Code, §441.222, established the TexShare Library Consortium to assist public libraries, libraries at public and private institutions of higher education, and libraries of clinical medicine. In FY2005, we generated $142 million in cost avoidance for Texas libraries by facilitating resource sharing. While exceptional item funding appropriated by the 79th Legislature has provided some help toward rebuilding the TexShare databases resource sharing service, we will need additional funding to accommodate demands from TexShare member libraries, since services were reduced due to cuts in Telecommunications Infrastructure Funds. The 79th Legislature passed SB 483, allowing public school libraries to participate in the group purchasing agreements of the TexShare consortium. No funding was associated with this legislation. If this cost-saving program is to grow, and to allow resource-poor districts to participate in particular, statewide funding will be required.

The agency has taken administrative responsibility for a $240,249 Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) National Leadership Grant to fund the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative (THDI). THDI will broaden and streamline access to Texas institutions’ historical and cultural resources through the Internet. TSLAC will also work collaboratively with its eleven partners in this grant project to create study guides and other teaching tools to accompany these digitized resources. Before the end of the two-year grant, other revenue sources must be secured to fund the continuation of this effort. The agency’s role in advancing the initiative will also need to be defined.

SCHOOL LIBRARY STANDARDS

Under Education Code, §33.021, the Texas State Library and Archives Commission, in consultation with the State Board of Education, developed and adopted standards for school library services in 1997. The goal of School Library Programs: Standards and Guidelines for Texas is to maximize the effectiveness of schools in teaching students the skills needed to become dedicated lifelong learners.

The Texas School Libraries: Standards, Resources, Services, and Students' Performance, published in 2001, measured the effect of school library activities, resources, and services on students’ TAAS scores and made recommendations for revising the standards. (The full report may be found at www.tsl.state.tx.us/ld/pubs/schlibsurvey/index.html.) We presented the findings to librarians throughout Texas and to the National Commission on Libraries and Information Sciences at a national hearing on school libraries. In response to changes affecting the state’s school libraries, and based on this study of Texas school libraries and their impact on student achievement, we determined that the standards needed to be amended.
In cooperation with the Texas Education Agency, the director and librarian appointed members to eight committees to revise the school library standards. The revised School Library Programs: Standards and Guidelines for Texas was submitted to the commission and the State Board of Education in FY2004, and approved in 2005.

Studies on the effect of school libraries on student achievement, including our Texas School Libraries: Standards, Resources, Services, and Students' Performance, have been used to support the need for federal funding for school libraries.

**Talking Book Program**

Texans who qualify for service from our Talking Book Program because of visual, physical, or reading disabilities comprise a critical underserved population. At current levels of staffing and funding, our Talking Book Program can only serve about eight percent of the estimated eligible population. Increased funding for travel and outreach is necessary to promote the service throughout the state. Currently, only one staff member is available to do this promotional work and is asked to serve the entire state. In order to improve response times and expand the scope of the service, we also need more staff to assist patrons with reading requests, to duplicate recorded materials on demand, and to process requested materials for circulation through the U.S. mail.

Over the next few years the Talking Book Program will be undergoing a major conversion in the way it operates, and staffing and funding issues may become more critical to the success of the program. For a time, the program will continue its current operational pattern while adding new patterns in terms of services offered and the way those services are delivered. The program will be running concurrent systems of operations until the newer services are established. Many of these new services are expected to be very attractive to a broader range of patrons than those currently using the program, and the number of new patrons enrolling and the number of established patrons in the program are both expected to steadily increase.

**Loan Star Libraries Program**

Local communities are unable to provide the resources needed to meet the increasing library needs of their citizens. Local communities already provide almost 98 percent of library funding in Texas. As populations grow and needs for technology access and training increase, library budgets remain static. Particularly in rural and impoverished areas, public libraries are in a funding crisis.

The Loan Star Libraries program of direct state aid to public libraries was created in 2001. Loan Star Libraries funds are used to improve and expand library services. Public libraries across Texas have improved collections and programs and increased the number of hours they are open.

One-quarter of the funds appropriated is divided equally among all eligible public libraries. The remaining three-quarters of the funds are distributed as a match on each local dollar spent for the operation and maintenance of the public library, with incentives to encourage libraries to offer statewide access. To increase local control and flexibility in the use of state funds to improve local library services, we are proposing moving library allocation funds from the Texas Library System program to the Loan Star Libraries program. We have appointed a task force to evaluate the Loan Star Libraries funding formula to ensure that the formula continues to allocate funds equitably.
Since the first of year of the grant program, FY2002, Loan Star Libraries has infused Texas public libraries with $13.75 million. In FY2006, $2.65 million in grants were distributed to 534 libraries.

ARCHIVES BACKLOG

Following an Attorney General’s opinion and the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding with the National Archives and Records Administration, the gubernatorial records of George W. Bush were temporarily transferred to the State Archives. Our staff will process the records for use by researchers as well as respond to requests made under the Texas Public Information Act.

Our original estimate of processing time for the records dedicated 3.5 FTEs for four years. As there are only five FTE archivists on the commission’s staff, we made a major commitment to the timely processing of the Bush records. Although we understood and took into account the number of expected research requests for these records, those requests and the amount of time needed to handle them have greatly exceeded our estimate. In order to fulfill our obligations to provide public information in the Bush records to requesters, staff must defer processing. Archivists dedicated to the arrangement and description of, and public access to, the Bush records were reduced to two FTEs in order to somewhat curb growth in the backlog of unprocessed records.

B. AGENCY CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRING IMPROVEMENT

NEED FOR EFFECTIVE COLLABORATION WITH CONSTITUENT GROUPS

The State Library and Archives Commission works hard to communicate effectively with its client groups. We seek even greater interaction with citizen groups, professional associations, and our clients and advisory committees to help form alliances and partnerships for support of our initiatives.

One of the roles that the commission fulfills is that of facilitator. As such, we actively encourage cooperation and linkages between and among different types of libraries, among governments at all levels, and between other members of our public and ourselves. These interconnections, through electronic networks, advisory committees, supportive organizations, and personal relationships, are invaluable to our mission. We are pursuing additional opportunities for collaboration with public and private organizations in an effort to better serve our clients. Examples include partnerships with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the Summerlee Foundation, the Tocker Foundation, Friends of Libraries & Archives of Texas, the Texas Historical Commission, the Department of Information Resources, the Texas Association of Museums, and Texas universities such as the University of North Texas.

Our Talking Book Program works with other state agencies and departments that serve mutual client bases, such as the Texas Education Agency, the Texas Veterans Commission, and the Governor’s Committee on People with Disabilities, as well as the Department of Assistive and Rehabilitative Services and the Department of Aging and Disability Services within the Health and Human Services Commission. Our staff works with staff of public libraries and with groups within the fields of medicine, visual sciences, learning disabilities, and senior services to promote the Talking Book Program to potential clients. Staff seeks opportunities to work with non-profit groups, such as the American Council of the Blind and the National Federation of the Blind, to
increase outreach to potential users of our service. Local governmental entities, such as county extension agencies, city and county health departments, and even chambers of commerce, also provide opportunities for staff to place information about the program into venues where potential patrons can be reached.

Our State and Local Records Management division has established a close working relationship with the Department of Information Resources and the National Archives and Records Administration to co-sponsor conferences and workshops that provide training to state and local government employees in records and information management. We plan to sponsor a records management conference designed specifically for local governments in early FY2008. If successful, we anticipate making the conference an annual event. The Texas Association of Counties has expressed an interest in partnering with the commission on this project, and we will also seek similar support from the Texas Municipal League and the Texas Association of School Administrators.

In its role as administrator for the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative grant project, the agency has forged a partnership with libraries, archives, and museums interested in digitizing their Texas heritage collections. This represents a broadening and deepening of our collaborative relationships with the museum community. The agency has sponsored three statewide workshops to address the needs of THDI constituents and to help solidify their commitments to this collaborative project.

C. RESPONSE TO HURRICANES KATRINA AND RITA

Hurricanes Katrina and Rita affected our constituents and our operations in both expected and unexpected ways. The two storms and their aftermath heightened awareness of the role of libraries for communities during disasters, and drew attention to the importance of disaster recovery plans for local governments.

The Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center sustained some damage due to Hurricane Rita. The historical records stored there faced the greatest risk, as heat and humidity can quickly create mold and ruin the records. The area expected a power outage of up to two weeks. We procured a generator to power the center, the costs of which were reimbursed by FEMA.

TEXAS RESPONDS GRANTS

After Hurricane Katrina, Texas public libraries found themselves inundated with evacuees seeking information and assistance. People flocked to library computers to locate and communicate with loved ones and to access, fill out, and print government applications. Libraries along the Texas-Louisiana border became information clearinghouses for community relief resources, employment opportunities, and of course, books.

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission mobilized quickly after Hurricane Katrina, developing an emergency grant program, Texas Responds, to assist public libraries struggling to provide services for evacuees. We worked with the Institute of Museum and Library Services to extend the use of FFY2004 funds and granted $180,000 to seven communities in early Oct. 2006.
Larger communities, such as Austin and Houston, opened temporary library branches within shelters, complete with books, periodicals, Internet-connected computers, and a reference librarian. Smaller communities used the funding to hire additional staff and computers, and to increase their list of job and computer training classes. One library, the Nacogdoches Public Library, served as a hub facility for FEMA, the Red Cross, and the Texas State Guard. The grant program encouraged collaboration with other community and state organizations, such as the Texas Workforce Commission. Appendix G describes in more detail the seven Texas Responds grants awarded.

**DISASTER RECOVERY FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT RECORDS**

We received a $25,000 grant from the National Historical Publications and Records Commission to assess the damage to historically valuable records in the counties affected by Hurricane Rita. Staff within the Archives and Information Services division and the State and Local Records Management division conducted a direct mail survey in Dec. 2005, to 150 governments and organizations. The responses revealed that while damage to historical records had been minimal, half of the respondents indicated they did not have a Records Disaster Preparedness and Recovery Plan in place prior to the hurricanes.

The survey also indicated the need for disaster preparedness training. Eighty percent of the respondents reported an interest in this type of training. Therefore, we used part of the grant to fund a two-day training conference about disaster planning and lessons learned from Hurricane Rita. We hosted the conference in Houston in May 2006, and it was free to participants.

**HELPING PEOPLE FIND RESOURCES**

After the hurricanes, our reference librarians received many calls and email requests for assistance and referrals to government resources. They compiled a comprehensive Web page of links to government agencies and other community programs offering assistance. Likewise, a resource page was created for libraries and archives needing assistance. In addition, our Talking Book Program offered services and referrals to evacuees.

**OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES**

An outcome of the hurricanes was a heightened awareness of the relevance of libraries within communities and the importance of safeguarding local government records.

The hurricanes highlighted the need for a better communication system between constituent groups and our agency in times of disaster. We did not know the status of Gulf Coast libraries after Hurricane Rita for some time. State library staff worked with the Houston Area Library System, South Texas Library System, and Northeast Texas Library System to try to contact all of the libraries in the region; but, with power outages and closures, often the librarian could not be reached. Likewise, our staff spent numerous hours trying to contact county clerk offices and other government officials responsible for maintaining city/county records in the Southeast portion of Texas. The process was inefficient and time-consuming, and after three weeks of effort, we had been successful in reaching only half of the offices.
We will work to establish a closer relationship with the Texas Department of Emergency Management and collaborate more effectively with other organizations so that the expertise needed to recover vital records in time of disaster is available.

**D. KEY OBSTACLES**

**SPACE**

**Archives and Information Services**

Bond funding of $15.3 million approved by the 79th Legislature for renovation of the Lorenzo de Zavala Archives and Library Building will hopefully solve the long-standing problem of inadequate storage space for archival materials and cramped work areas for staff to arrange, describe, and carry out minimal preservation treatments. Although still in the planning phase, initial estimates suggest the current appropriation would achieve a mere five years of archival storage growth at best. Additional funding will be needed to adequately plan for the growth and long-term care of the Texas State Archives.

Almost no storage space remains at our Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center in Liberty. The short-term solution is additional shelving, which is an expensive commodity.

**Talking Book Program**

Our Talking Book Program also is hampered by a shortage of space. Program operations are scattered over three floors in our headquarters at the Lorenzo de Zavala building, as well as the State Records Center on Shoal Creek. Operations in the Lorenzo de Zavala building are crowded, especially in the volunteer recording studio, the cassette duplication workroom, and the Disability Information Referral Center. Staff employed at the program’s Shoal Creek location, as well as active community volunteers, compete for workspace and restroom facilities. Inadequate accommodations mean a loss of scheduling flexibility for both staff and volunteers and inhibit the growth of the volunteer program, especially as the efforts of volunteers are critical to meeting our goals. Managing staff in two separate facilities poses challenges for communication, staff sharing and training, and mutual problem solving. With the major changes to the program projected for the next five years, sufficient space for both staff to work in and for storage of materials will be a major concern. In the beginning stages, the new services and operational patterns will run concurrently with current operations; sufficient space will be crucial for a smooth transition.

**AGING INFRASTRUCTURE**

Because of ongoing mechanical and electrical problems at the State Records Center on Shoal Creek, we commissioned an engineering study of the building. The study revealed major problems with the electrical/mechanical systems, some due to aging of the systems, others as the result of poor construction and design. Consequently, utility costs for the building are far higher than they should be. The consulting engineers estimated the cost to replace or retrofit the mechanical and electrical systems, and to undertake other measures to make the State Records Center more energy efficient, to be between $1.4 and $3.4 million, depending on the extent to which state-of-the-art energy savings systems are included in the retrofit. We requested funding for the retrofit from the
79th Legislature as part of the funding request for the renovation of the Lorenzo de Zavala Building, but the final appropriation was for the latter project only.

Likewise, structural problems have created serious concerns for the staff at the Talking Book Program warehouse facility, located in an annex at the back of the State Records Center. Major problems include numerous leaks in the roof, shifts and cracks in the foundation, inadequate electrical wiring, and unusable space (a portion of the facility’s original construction that was never finished). These problems force the staff to take additional measures to both work efficiently and to protect the collections, much of which belongs to the federal government.

**STAFFING**

While government grows smaller because of revenue restraints, the expectations of our customers do not. The volume of demand for services exceeds the ability of our staff to deliver them, despite ongoing improvements in efficiency. The advent of the computer led many to predict that offices of the future would be paperless. The opposite has happened. We generate far more paper than ever before. Similarly, the use of such strategies as videoconferencing and Web-based learning, designed to meet the needs of our customers, also serves to stimulate the desire of our clients for more and faster services.

**Archives and Information Services**

The historic understaffing of our Archives and Information Services division undermines our ability to carry out activities related to our core role of Preserver. With limited staff, we must assign priorities to meet pressing demands. We have no staff to perform preservation treatments on fragile historical documents. Records are prepared for research as resources permit. Part of the preparation process includes appraising state agency records to determine those that have no long-term value and can be deaccessioned and destroyed. The backlog of records in need of appraisal and preparation is growing faster than our staff can address. Consequently, the commission is retaining more records in the State Archives than is truly necessary. If we are not allocated additional staff soon, we may be forced to require that state agencies retain such records themselves, and bear the costs of their ongoing maintenance, preservation, and access. In addition to imposing a serious financial burden on state agencies, such a situation could easily result in information of enduring value being lost due to accidental or deliberate destruction. Delaying appraisal, description, and ongoing preservation – three central functions of an archive – are unfortunate but necessary choices given the current level of funding.

All state records are subject to requests for information under the Texas Public Information Act. The Act makes no distinction between “processed” and “unprocessed” records. When unprocessed records are requested at the State Archives, they must be reviewed by archivists for information that may be excepted from public disclosure. An already extremely time-consuming and intensive review is made more so when the records have not been arranged and described.

Our knowledge of the documentation of electronic records of archival value is almost nonexistent. Agencies continue to create complex relational databases, geographic information systems, and other increasingly sophisticated electronic records. Records retention requirements for electronic records must be addressed in the planning and design stages of new information systems. Agencies need assistance in determining what has archival value and should be transferred to the
State Archives for permanent retention, and what should be retained permanently within the agency, in accordance with requirements for their storage and access as determined by the commission. Our Archives and Information Services division does not have enough trained professional archivists to identify and appraise those systems. Further, we do not currently possess the necessary hardware and software to permit the transfer of and access to the content of those records.

**Library Development**

Inadequate staffing levels also limit the ability of our Library Development division to deliver services at a level that meets the needs and expectations of our customers. The use of distance learning technology has not reduced the level of staffing needed; if anything, it has had the opposite effect. For example, providing access to videoconferences at multiple sites around the state requires considerable support, not only in the form of coordination and instructional materials, but also with subsequent information, advice, and guidance. We currently support 12-20 videoconference sites, but the technology is emerging in additional locations that would benefit our clients. Our new distance learning technologies place demands on staff to provide more training in more formats. Library Development division staff are also coordinating more training opportunities in support of TexShare programs for public and academic libraries and through funding from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. In addition to public libraries, academic and school libraries increasingly ask for consulting assistance, placing additional demands on existing staff. We simply lack the staff to provide these services at a level that meets customer expectations. The growth in demand of services to school libraries is an additional concern. To effectively serve this large group, the division would need additional staff and resources.

**Library Resource Sharing**

Our Library Resource Sharing division implements technical innovations to improve access to information by its constituent libraries. Much of this innovation comes at a high cost in terms of personnel, of computer resources, and of dollars. Our mandate to facilitate resource sharing involves consulting with librarians to provide statewide access to shared TexShare database subscriptions, maintaining computer hardware and software to run sophisticated resource delivery tools, collecting and redistributing thousands of Texas documents, and ensuring the timely delivery of library materials to users throughout the state.

We are administering the two-year Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative grant project without expanding the total number of FTEs in the agency. Should TSLAC accept ongoing responsibility for this service, long term staffing needs would have to be addressed.

With the passage of SB 483, allowing public school libraries to participate in group purchasing agreements of the TexShare consortium, the Library Resource Sharing Division has experienced a tenfold increase in the number of libraries served. No additional staff was provided to accommodate this increase. While much of the work of providing limited services to public school libraries has been addressed through outsourcing, any expansion of services to this constituency would require additional staff to accommodate the workload.

**State and Local Records Management**

Texas governments are becoming increasingly interested in records management, and their needs have become more complex as a result of the use of electronic records. However, the number of records and information management professionals on our staff remains static at six. These staff
members are expected to provide assistance and training to approximately 254 counties (some 9,500 local governments) and nearly 200 state agencies.

Local governments, in particular, are in need of both basic and advanced records management assistance. Due to constant turnover of elected officials and declining tax revenues in small and medium local governments, local officials are rarely able to come to Austin to attend training classes. We have increased the number of regional training classes we conduct, and we will develop and offer more distance learning opportunities through the use of videoconferencing and Web-based learning. There will remain, however, a strong and steady demand for onsite assistance, but the number of staff we can employ limits our ability to take full advantage of the opportunity. When staff travels to local jurisdictions, they do so at the expense of services they can offer to state agencies. We are simply unable to meet all the demands and needs for our services, and those that are met are often not as timely as they should be.

Talking Book Program

The Talking Book Program also has difficulty meeting client needs because of inadequate staffing levels. We experienced a staggering 72 percent increase in circulation between FY1991 and FY1999. Staffing for the program, however, remained static throughout that period. While increases in circulation have slowed in recent years, staff still handles between 6,000 and 10,000 items per day; nearly 840,000 books and magazines were sent to patrons in FY2005. Automation enhancements and the streamlining of work procedures enable Circulation staff to meet daily work quotas, but at the same time, the department is heavily dependent on the extensive use of volunteers to meet those daily quotas.

Turnover in staff continues to be a serious concern in the Talking Book Program. Low salaries and lack of promotional opportunities are the primary reasons for persons leaving the program. Turnover is particularly acute in the Reader Services department, where recruitment of replacement staff with bilingual and Braille skills is difficult. This holds true for other positions needing specialized skills and experience. As the program changes in the next few years, staff with more technical skills will be needed.

PLANNING AND BUDGETING

We continue to seek the most effective way to allocate existing resources. In some cases, formula-funded grant programs have created stakeholder groups that influence continued funding through their advocacy. While sensitivity to constituent needs is valuable, it can produce stagnation or a failure to address new needs when new revenue is not available. Because of their tendency to evolve into entitlement programs, formula programs tend to be incompatible with effective program evaluation.

Dramatic changes have taken place in the basic federal structure for supporting library services. The 40-year-old Library Services and Construction Act expired in 1996 and was replaced by new legislation, the Library Services and Technology Act. The new Act shifts the emphasis to using technology to provide networked and collaborative services, and to develop services for underserved. These priorities are appropriate, and we must dramatically adapt our traditional programs for assisting public libraries to align more effectively with these new priorities. The expansion of the federal program from exclusively public libraries to all libraries reinforces the recent direction of state programs. As a result, we have become increasingly active with the
academic and school library communities. In short, the commission is in a transitional period that will require careful planning as we expand our client base and restructure our programs accordingly.

One way we have already expanded our client base is through the TexShare library resource sharing consortium which brings together public libraries, academic libraries, and libraries of clinical medicine. The success of this program has prompted other types of libraries to request participation in the program, but current legislation and funding has limited our ability to expand participation in the program. Recent legislation allows public school libraries to participate in the group purchasing services of TexShare. Currently the public school library constituency pays the full cost of this participation. If this program is to grow, statewide funding will be required. The regional library systems are planning collaborative projects and services with other types of libraries and community organizations for the next biennium.

E. OPPORTUNITIES

Although faced with many obstacles, we will avail ourselves of numerous opportunities to provide an expanded array of services to our diverse client groups, enhance and improve the effectiveness of our operations, and play a more active role in state government in general.

In Mar. 2006, the Sunset Commission began its review of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission. We welcome the opportunity to work with the Sunset Commission and our constituent groups in scrutinizing our activities and programs and in seeking ways to improve what we do.

ENHANCE STATEWIDE SHARING OF LIBRARY RESOURCES

Since 1997, we have managed networked resource sharing initiatives for the TexShare library consortium. The consortium has three distinct constituencies: public libraries, academic libraries, and libraries of clinical medicine. A similar program for school libraries was discontinued in FY2004 due to budget cuts at the Texas Education Agency. In order to partially fill the void left by the dissolution of this program, the 79th Legislature passed legislation to allow public school libraries to participate in the group purchasing activities of the consortium.

Our new Library of Texas program allows Texans, no matter where they live, to locate the information materials they need. The Library of Texas allows all residents of the state, in one online search session, to identify and locate holdings in libraries and other information repositories statewide. We speed delivery of materials to all Texans through effective use of high-tech and traditional infrastructures. Texas’ statewide interlibrary loan system utilizes online catalogs and communications systems already in place to encourage library-to-library lending. Our TexShare courier provides faster delivery of these interlibrary loan services statewide, and yields cost savings for academic and public libraries throughout Texas. Our TexShare card program encourages direct lending of library materials to users that need them while setting up parameters that protect participating libraries from a potentially burdensome loss of materials. The combined efforts of the Library of Texas Project with our interlibrary loan system will dramatically expand
the number of persons served by libraries and the number of informational materials provided to Texans, while decreasing the cost per book and other library materials provided.

The Library of Texas infrastructure is being used to drive another resource-sharing initiative, the Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative. The Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative promotes collaboration among Texas institutions by establishing common standards, sharing best practices and facilitating cooperative funding to provide online access to cultural heritage resources that benefit learners. The Texas State Library and Archives Commission is administering a two-year grant project to develop seamless, integrated access to digitized primary resource materials in a variety of disperse collections through the federated search capability of the Library of Texas. This program will enhance the ability of researchers, students, teachers, and the general public to access primary resource materials, resulting in a broader understanding of Texas history.

HB 2473, passed by the 79th Legislature, enables us to provide for more efficient management of state publications in print format by adopting new technologies. The agency previously was limited to use of microform format to meet its mandate to provide for the preservation of and access to state publications. HB 2473 broadens the options open to us by replacing “microform” with “alternative formats.” Our Texas State Publications Depository Program staff will determine the best technologies and formats to guarantee efficient and cost-effective future access to the information contained in print state publications.

ENSURE LIBRARIES HAVE THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE THEY NEED

Libraries of all types struggle to provide the range of information products and services that their clients need, without reliable and affordable access to broadband telecommunications services. The Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Board provided significant funding to support the development of information infrastructure for libraries. Approximately 99 percent of the public libraries in Texas now have Internet access. However, TIF funding has ceased, and libraries have struggled to maintain the information infrastructure they have.

Similarly, grant programs for community college connectivity provide more robust Internet access and technical infrastructure for every academic institution in the state. Commission staff played an active role in working with the TIF Board to develop these programs. Commission staff have worked with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation since 2000 to help public libraries sustain public access computing.

The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 established a federal Universal Service Fund (USF), an important program of support for discounted telecommunications rates for schools and libraries. Commission staff provides information and assistance to libraries seeking to benefit from this program by providing workshops and information on the complex application process. We also approve the technology plans that are required for every applicant under the USF program. Further development of this program will require consistent staff involvement to assist libraries in maximizing the benefits of these discounts.

PROVIDE INFORMATION THROUGH THE INTERNET

Since 1994, the commission has provided an effective public gateway to electronic information, including federal and state government information and commercial information databases. The
TexShare online database service is a good example of the effectiveness of these services. Since FY1994, we have provided one-stop access to commercial full-text databases that enable public and state agency librarians to better serve their clients. Expanded through the TexShare program to include academic libraries and libraries of clinical medicine, this database service offers over 9,420 journals, 118,360 primary source documents, and 28,281 unique e-book titles. The TexShare databases are available even in the most remote, rural locations across Texas.

The Texas Records and Information Locator (TRAIL) is another example of our effective delivery of electronic library services. Launched in response to a legislative mandate to index and enhance access to state agency publications, TRAIL is a comprehensive source for state government information, regardless of format. TRAIL indexes and provides searching of more than 74,000 Texas state electronic resources, from more than 170 state agencies.

Realizing that everyone deserves access to both current and historic governmental information, the TRAIL service has been enhanced by the addition of an Electronic Depository Program (EDP). The EDP captures and preserves state government information that has been produced in electronic format so that future generations can enjoy the same continuing access to electronic publications as they have with print publications. This EDP system is currently capturing electronic publications that the commission will make available to the public in the near future.

The Library of Texas program, expands and integrates electronic services, including TRAIL, library catalogs and TexShare electronic information databases. By collaborating with other libraries and electronic information providers across the state, we have built a comprehensive electronic library all Texans can use effectively — anytime and anywhere. This service is currently available online at LibraryofTexas.org.

We are using the same technologies developed for Library of Texas to create another collaborative tool that will provide a single, one-stop access to digitized primary resource materials from collections scattered throughout the state. The purpose of this program is to bring these rich materials to Texans at their desktops.

Our Talking Book Program offers two important services via the Internet to its patrons — the National Library Services’ public access catalog of available books and magazines and Web-Braille, a collection of over 8,000 Braille books, magazines, and musical scores. Staff are developing a comprehensive web site that will allow patrons to access their personal accounts and eventually download digital books.

Our Archives and Information Services division continues to provide access to information through the Internet, by accepting and answering reference questions via e-mail, and by creating informative web pages.

Library statistics and other significant resources for Texas librarians and their communities are also now available via the Web. Library development staff consult with thousands of library staff each year, now primarily through email.

The purchase and installation of new records storage tracking software at the agency’s State Records Center will enable agencies that use to center to have Web-based access to information about records they have in storage and to request delivery of records to and from the Center.
USE TECHNOLOGY TO IMPROVE ACCESS AND PRESERVATION

Continuing advancements in information technologies, particularly in the area of digital imagery, offer libraries and archives new preservation and access opportunities. The ability to transmit digital images of unique and valuable archival resources over communications networks to users—on-site as well as at distant locations—without any wear or possible damage to the original items contributes significantly to their long-term preservation and availability.

Realizing the potential benefits of this technology, and in keeping with our long-standing efforts to make increasing numbers of our information resources directly accessible via the Internet, we have prepared ten on-line exhibits to date that include digital reproductions of hundreds of original documents and photographic images. We have also mounted on the commission web site new on-line searchable database indexes that display search results that are linked to digital images of several hundred thousand documents and maps.

One impact of our efforts to provide Internet access to digital images of our archival holdings, as well as links from our Web site to other known Internet sources for both historical and genealogical information has been a steady decline in the number of researchers, particularly genealogical researchers, requesting direct assistance from our reference staff. However, while staff are receiving fewer genealogical questions, a larger percentage of the remaining reference inquiries tend to be more detailed and difficult, requiring more time to answer. Time gained from the decline in the number of requests is devoted instead to continually improving our Web pages, to converting more archival and library materials to digital form, and to preparing additional online digital exhibits that will interpret and improve access to the unique information resources in our collections. Thus, we continue empowering the people of Texas to locate government information at anytime without having to contact us or travel to our facilities.

INCREASE EARNED REVENUES, GRANTS, AND GIFTS

A grant from the Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Board provided seed money to build the Library of Texas program. This innovative project has allowed us, in partnership with the 700 academic and public libraries across Texas, to meet the information needs of Texas. Over the last biennium, we have added local customization options to the tool, increasing its effectiveness in bringing together diverse resources and decreasing training costs. Yet there are many ways in which the benefits of the Library of Texas program can and should be extended. For example, implementing new standards and technology would allow us to enhance the speed and cost-efficiency of interlibrary loan. The Texas State Library and Archives Commission has joined with libraries, museums, archives, and other institutions statewide to provide online access to cultural heritage resources. The Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative will establish standards and “best practices” for digitization efforts, establish regional digital imaging labs, provide educational context for these materials, identify and prioritize source materials, and build a portal for access to the digitized collections. An Institute of Museum and Library Services National Leadership Grant is providing the funds necessary to develop the portal, provide access to approximately 50 digital collections, and develop educational tools. While an impressive beginning, these activities only represent a small portion of the job to be done in order to bring together resources from more than 1,000 agencies and institutions that hold cultural heritage materials. We will seek funding to accomplish as much as possible of the work needed to enhance the Library of Texas and continue statewide digitization efforts. Competitive grant processes do not guarantee awards, however, and
critical services may not be funded if we rely totally on grant sources, especially those that require matching funds from our agency.

We will continue to take advantage of opportunities to advocate for foundation funding for the historical projects of the State Archives and its network of regional historical resource depositories. The major obstacle to this opportunity is staff time to develop proposals for foundation grants.

We also continue our efforts to attract gifts for special projects to enhance services provided by the Talking Book Program (TBP). Additional staff in its public awareness department would facilitate this effort. TBP has benefited from the receipt of several substantial bequests during the past two biennia, but the program cannot depend on these types of funds on a regular basis. Such funds are used to improve outreach efforts and services to patrons and to address some unmet needs at the TBP Circulation facility, to upgrade the volunteer recording studio and duplication workroom, and to improve the breadth and quality of the book collections.

In 1999, the legislature provided for the issuance of a specialty license plate, Texas Reads, to fund grants for reading programs in public libraries. The project has provided some funds for us to grant to libraries; however, it also requires regular promotion work on the part of commission staff with libraries to keep awareness of the license plate current in order to maintain this funding.

In 2001 we created a non-profit organization, the Friends of Libraries & Archives of Texas, to support our statewide library and archival services that benefit all Texans. The Friends advocate and promote our services and fundraise to expand those services. The group also fosters the development and growth of local groups in support of local libraries and archives in Texas. While we consider the group still a fledging in terms of capital and membership, the future of the Friends is promising, and we anticipate a growth in its level of support over the next several years.

EXPLORE ALTERNATIVES FOR SERVING UNSERVED POPULATIONS

Legislation that permits the creation of library tax districts has helped to increase the availability of library service in Texas. Commission and regional library system staff also actively help communities that want to start libraries by offering consulting assistance and information about funding sources. A new library district law passed in 2005 further increases the options available to local jurisdictions.

Partnering with existing local libraries to extend services to Texans without library service is another approach. This does carry the challenge of overcoming geographical, administrative, and political obstacles, including the frequent lack of a local entity with which to work. Alternative methods of service delivery, such as electronic information networks, electronic document delivery, and resource sharing, are services that can be extended to the unserved, especially in isolated rural areas.

ESTABLISH STATE INFORMATION POLICY

We will continue to take a leadership role in working with other state agencies, both directly and through the Records Management Interagency Coordinating Council and other bodies, to establish sound state information policies and programs. Policies must be adopted that will ensure the development and implementation of recordkeeping systems that use information technology to manage the state’s electronic records as effectively as its paper records have been managed.
Effective policies will ensure electronic records are preserved as long as they are needed. We will play a key role in this arena because of our staff’s specialized skills in organizing information, our advocacy for equitable public access to government information, and our relationship with government records management programs, state agency libraries, university libraries, and public libraries.

The Texas State Library and Archives Commission has joined with libraries, museums, archives, and other institutions statewide to provide online access to cultural heritage resources. The Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative will establish standards and “best practices” for digitization efforts, establish regional digital imaging labs, provide educational context for these materials, identify and prioritize source materials, and build a portal for access to the digitized collections.

**IMPROVE COST-EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY IN GOVERNMENT RECORDKEEPING**

At a time when expanded demand for government services is challenged by limited resources, we will continue to assist in the development of records management programs in Texas government offices that provide cost savings of millions of tax dollars. Cost avoidance for government record-keeping can be dramatically improved through the use of retention schedules to dispose of obsolete government records, the low-cost storage of inactive records, the organization of active records for easy retrieval, and the appropriate use of recordkeeping technologies. Dependable, time-sensitive accessibility to records is critical for sustaining services to Texans and in improving the accountability of government operations.

The commission recently changed its requirement that state agencies must submit their records retention schedules for approval every three years rather than every two years. This change in policy should provide the commission’s government information analysts and records management staff in other state agencies more time to work collaboratively on enhancing the effectiveness of state agency records management programs. The State and Local Records Management Division will also begin auditing state agency records management practices in FY2007. An audit will be conducted at the request of an agency.

**EXPLORE FUNDING OPTIONS FOR A RECORDS MANAGEMENT AND PRESERVATION GRANT PROGRAM**

During the 79th Legislature, the Commission sought but failed to secure the legislative approval needed for funding a records management and preservation grant program for local governments. Such a funding source, apart from the general revenue stream, would allow us to provide records management and preservation grants to local governments, expand our archival and records management resources and services to those governments, and provide us the means to assist them in times of disaster. In recent years, we have been unable to answer pleas for onsite assistance or financial aid from governments that have experienced natural disasters because of lack of staff and funds.
F. RELATIONSHIP WITH LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL ENTITIES

The commission has a statutory advisory structure to support our work with local governments and state agencies.

The Local Government Records Committee consists of ten local government and two state agency officials to approve rules affecting local government records and to review all other policy matters concerning local government records.

The Electronic Grants Advisory Committee consists of nine representatives from the Electronic Grants Technical Assistance Work Group charged with gathering input from public and other users of the electronically-searchable central database of state grants (part of the TRAIL service) and to advise the commission regarding the development of the database.

The Electronic Grants Evaluation Committee consists of five public members who will evaluate annually the operation of the electronically-searchable database of state grants (part of the TRAIL service).

The Electronic Recording Advisory Committee develops and recommends rules to the commission that permit county clerks to receive and record documents, especially in county real property records, by electronic means. The nineteen-member committee is comprised of a mixture of state officials, county clerks and judges, and representatives from the title industry.

The Records Management Interagency Coordinating Council is comprised of the elected or appointed heads of seven state agencies or their designees. The council reviews the activities of each member agency that affect the state’s management of records, studies other records management issues, and reports its findings and any recommended legislation to the governor and legislature every two years. The council is not technically an advisory committee to the commission; however, we carry out many of the council's recommendations through our existing rulemaking authority.

The Library Systems Act Advisory Board has a membership of five librarians to advise on operation of the Library Systems Act and the Loan Star Libraries Program of direct aid to public libraries.

The Library Services and Technology Act Grant Review Panel has ten members representing different types of libraries and their customers. Commission staff also meets with representatives of library systems several times a year to coordinate the delivery of services to local public libraries.

The TexShare Advisory Board consists of eleven individuals, two each representing state-supported colleges and universities, community and junior colleges, and private universities and colleges; two members of the general public; two public library representatives; and one member at large. This board advises us on all aspects of the operation of the TexShare library resource sharing consortium.

The Texas Historical Records Advisory Board (THRAB) works to ensure the comprehensive and efficient preservation of the state’s unique documentary heritage, including state records, local government records, and historical manuscripts. The governor appoints two citizen members. The director and librarian of the commission appoints six remaining members, each with demonstrated
experience in the administration of government records, historical records, or archives. The state archivist serves as THRAB coordinator.

Our Talking Book Program is a member of a nationwide network of libraries providing library service to persons with disabilities. The National Library Service for the Blind and Physically Handicapped is a division of the Library of Congress and provides thousands of books, playback equipment, and adaptive technology that would otherwise be unavailable to Texas readers with disabilities. The program is able to ship materials free of charge under the United States Postal Service’s label of “free material for the blind and physically handicapped.”

Our Talking Book Program continues to seek alliances with groups that serve the same client base. These groups include other state agencies such as the Division of Blind and Visually Impaired Services, non-profit service groups such as the National Federation of the Blind, and non-profit businesses such as Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic of Texas. The program also has good working relationships with public libraries throughout the state. Even minimal contact with other groups such as these helps our Talking Book Program improve the service it delivers to our patrons.

Commission staff work with a range of non-governmental entities. We take a leading role in endeavors such as the Texas Book Festival. We provide consulting services for funding entities, such as the Tocker Foundation, the Seawell-Elam Foundation, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, to assist them in developing guidelines for grant programs, scoring grant proposals, and supporting libraries in carrying out their grant-funded projects.

G. AVAILABLE KEY TECHNOLOGICAL, CAPITAL, HUMAN, AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES

- our educated and motivated staff — our greatest strength and without whom we could not fulfill our mission
- our library and archival collections, without which we would be unable to achieve our mission. These include the State Archives and our Sam Houston Regional Library and Research Center, the U.S. and Texas Documents collections, the Genealogy Library, the Library Science Collection, and the Talking Book Program collection.
- our micrographics and digital imaging services and the records storage services of our State Records Center
- our volunteers, whose efforts are critical to meeting the program goals of the Talking Book Program and the Archives and Information Services Division. In FY2005, volunteers donated 29,464 hours of work in these divisions, the equivalent of approximately 13 FTEs.
- our cooperative and collaborative working relationship with numerous state, local and federal officials and staff. Among these are records management officers in state agencies; local government records management officers; the librarians of state agencies libraries; the directors and staffs of the public, academic and school libraries in the state; and network division staff at the National Library Service.
• our access, through encouragement of resource sharing among all types of libraries, to the rich collections of the public, academic, and school libraries of Texas
• our robust local area network connected with broadband access to the Internet
• our access to the OCLC Library Network, through the AMIGOS Bibliographic Council, which provides a massive database of bibliographic records
• our relationship with the Texas Library Association and its members who assist us in developing programs of service that meet the needs and expectations the residents of Texas
• our Friends group, the Friends of Libraries and Archives of Texas, and its members, who support our initiatives through financial and volunteer contributions.

H. EMPLOYEE PERCEPTION

To assess our employees' opinions, the Texas State Library and Archives Commission participates in the Survey of Organizational Excellence, conducted every two years by the University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work.

In response to the 1999 survey, management appointed an Organizational Excellence Task Force (OETF) to examine our strengths and weaknesses in greater detail. Comprised of staff members from each of our divisions, the OETF's mission was to distribute information about, collect feedback on, and develop recommendations for improvement in our performance. Our management team worked closely with the OETF to address employee concerns, and the 2001 survey results indicate those efforts were successful. We developed and implemented an internal communications plan in response to concerns expressed by the OETF. We publicized efforts in response to other issues through our internal newsletter. As a result, 51 percent of respondents in 2001 either agreed or strongly agreed that the information from the survey was used to improve performance.

The highest increases in ratings in the 2003 survey occurred in questions relating to employment development, internal communications, team effectiveness, physical environment, supervisor effectiveness, and strategic orientation. All of these increased by more than 2.5 percent.

Sixty-four percent agreed that they were provided the opportunity to do their best work, and 63 percent agreed that the pace of work enables employees to do a good job. In terms of performance being evaluated fairly, 78 percent of staff agreed or strongly agreed.

In analyzing the overall 2003 data, it is significant that staff again rated us higher than benchmarks in all dimensions except Accommodations. Management's efforts to improve the facilities and work areas resulted in a 12 point increase in ratings for the Physical Environment construct, and the increase in ratings on primary items for employee safety and a well-maintained workplace.

The data also reflects positive increases in the staff view on all constructs except Fair Pay and Benefits. The staff's scoring of the Fair Pay construct dropped 2.2 percent from the previous survey. This finding continues to be a concern to us. The budget reductions that occurred last year greatly reduced our ability to award merit raises, so we were much less able to address this concern. The construct that fell the most was Benefits, dropping by over 9.7 percent. This was probably due to the legislative and program changes made during the last session. Because the
fringe benefit program is not controlled by the agency management, we will have very limited ability to improve this score.

Further analysis shows that over 36 percent of respondents make less than $25,000 per year, but only 11 percent of the respondents were part-time employees. Demographic information from the survey indicates that 72 percent are the primary wage earners, and 59 percent are the only wage earners in their households. In addition, about 70 percent of the respondents support two or more persons.
**Agency's Planning Process and Timetable**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun.-Aug. 2005:</td>
<td>The agency planned and prepared a detailed self-evaluation report for the Sunset Advisory Commission, as the agency will undergo Sunset review during the 80th Texas Legislature. The process included agency staff, commission, and constituent input. The resulting report outlined agency strengths and weaknesses, and described eight policy issues affecting the agency’s performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2005:</td>
<td>The agency’s senior management team began Strategic Planning discussion; preliminary plans were made for preparation of the plan and the inclusion of staff in the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 30, 2005:</td>
<td>Preliminary discussion of the Strategic Plan was held at a meeting of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 1-2, 2005:</td>
<td>The commission and senior staff participated in a two-day, facilitated strategic planning retreat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 2005-Apr. 2006:</td>
<td>The agency's senior management team reviewed the existing Strategic Plan and submitted updates to various authors for compilation. Managers met regularly to discuss and review goals, mission, vision, strategies, and measures. From this effort, an initial draft of the Strategic Plan was developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 10, 2006:</td>
<td>Instructions for Preparing and Submitting Agency Strategic Plans were issued by the Legislative Budget Board and Governor’s Office of Budget and Planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 7, 2006:</td>
<td>Submitted existing definitions of outcome, output, efficiency, and explanatory measures to the Legislative Budget Board and Governor’s Office of Budget and Planning for their review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 26, 2006:</td>
<td>Initial draft of the Strategic Plan was discussed at a meeting of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-Jun. 2006:</td>
<td>Agency staff continue developing and revising plan based on commission input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 2, 2006:</td>
<td>Submitted final draft of Strategic Plan to Library and Archives Commission for approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 9, 2006:</td>
<td>Texas State Library and Archives Commission reviewed and approved the Strategic Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 10- Jul. 6, 2006:</td>
<td>Completed and revised plan as necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 7, 2006:</td>
<td>Submit Agency Strategic Plan to Legislative Budget Board, Governor’s Office of Budget and Planning, and others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agency Organization Chart

Number of FTE employees in FY06: 206.3

Texas State Library and Archives Commission

Director and Librarian
Peggy D. Rudd

Executive Office
Staff: 3.50 FTE

Assistant State Librarian
Edward Seidenberg

Administrative Services
Donna Osborne
Staff: 18.25 FTE

Information Resources Technologies
Manuel Alvarez
Staff: 15.00 FTE

Library Resource Sharing
Beverley Shirley
Staff: 11.50 FTE

Archives & Information Services
Christopher LaPlante
Staff: 37.50 FTE

Library Development
Deborah Littrell
Staff: 16.50 FTE

State & Local Records Management
Michael Heskett
Staff: 48.50 FTE

Talking Book Program
Ava Smith
Staff: 53.55 FTE
# Five-Year Projections for Outcomes

(Assumes Continuation of FY07 Funding Level)

## Outcome Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective A.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of the public libraries that have improved their services or resources.</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar value of cost avoidance achieved by library resource sharing (millions).</td>
<td>$78.8</td>
<td>$85.4</td>
<td>$91.6</td>
<td>$97.2</td>
<td>$103.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective A.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of eligible population registered for Talking Book Program service.</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective B.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers satisfied with State Library reference and information services.</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective C.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of state agencies administering programs based on approved records schedules.</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of local government offices administering programs based on approved records schedules.</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar value of cost-avoidance achieved for state records storage/maintenance (millions).</td>
<td>$70.1</td>
<td>$71.6</td>
<td>$73.0</td>
<td>$74.3</td>
<td>$74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective D.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total dollars spent with HUB vendors.</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Objective A.1 Outcome Measures

**Percent of public libraries that have improved their services or resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>Percent of improved public libraries.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>This measure is intended to show the percent of Texas public libraries that significantly improved their customer services or library resources. The goal is to improve Texas libraries, and this attempts to measure the impact on library services and resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Collection of Data</strong></td>
<td>The State Library collects a wide variety of data on public libraries, and uses the data to accredit members of the Texas Library System. The designated data elements from each library will be compared to what it reported the previous year. The data analyzed will be that which was used to accredit the libraries in the fiscal year when the performance report is due. The data are available only annually and is finalized no sooner than late July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method of Calculation</strong></td>
<td>For the system membership year of the performance report, the following 5 data elements for each system member public library will be compared to what they reported the previous year: total collection (items), total reference transactions, total library circulation, total library program attendance, and total number of library visits. The percent change from the previous year to the current year will be calculated. If the percent change for a majority (3 or more) of these data elements is +5% or greater, that library will be considered &quot;significantly improved.&quot; The total number of thus improved libraries will be divided by the total number of system member libraries for the previous year. Libraries that do not report data for at least 4 of the 5 measures will not be included in the calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Limitations</strong></td>
<td>Over 550 public libraries are reporting a multitude of data elements each year. The accuracy of their data is contingent upon their data collection system, their understanding of the definitions of how, what, and when data is to be collected, and the number, the experience of their staff to capture the data accurately and consistently, and the ability of agency staff to identify and remedy data collection deficiencies. Some data may be estimated. Some data may be interpolated or approximated to reduce the local data collection effort. The measure provides the same weight to small library systems as to large library systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculation Type</strong></td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Measure</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired Performance</strong></td>
<td>Higher than Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority / Key Measure</strong></td>
<td>High / Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Definition</td>
<td>This is the amount of cost-avoidance realized by Texas libraries because of TexShare and the other resource sharing programs and services provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure shows the cost savings realized through library resource sharing services. It demonstrates the economies of scale and expanded services made possible by statewide resource sharing programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Costs for individual libraries to provide access to databases are estimated from vendor’s price schedules. Costs to purchase materials received through interlibrary loan and the TexShare Card program are calculated using the published average costs for books and commercial document delivery services. Costs to provide access to state agency publications are estimated by calculating the cost of postage to mail the materials individually. Costs to provide library-to-library delivery of materials are estimated by calculating the cost of postage to mail materials individually. Library resource sharing program costs include all allocable direct costs and are obtained from internal budget summaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The agency compiles a listing of database products purchased on statewide contract, estimating the cost each library would pay for these products if libraries purchased them on their own. Interlibrary loan data are derived from reports submitted by interlibrary loan centers and a commercial vendor. The State Publications Depository Program tracks the number of publications distributed to depository libraries. Participating libraries annually report the number of items circulated as part of the TexShare Card program. The number of materials delivered among libraries is reported by the commercial courier. Reported measure is determined by: (1) estimating the cost for participating libraries and state agencies to provide electronic access to databases, acquire state agency publications, mail library materials, and purchase materials received through interlibrary loan and the TexShare Card; and (2) subtracting actual expenditures of TexShare and other sharing programs. Calculated annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Listed prices for databases reflect price quotations from vendors. Consistent cost comparisons are difficult to verify since the database marketplace changes rapidly; vendors frequently negotiate statewide discounts, and regularly offer price breaks on “package deals.” Postage costs could vary due to the weight of the packages or the number of items included in a single package.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>High / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### STRATEGY A.1.1 OUTPUT MEASURES

#### Number of books and other materials made available/circulated by library resource sharing services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the number of items made available by TexShare and other library resource sharing services.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>This measure shows the wide range of informational materials made available through TexShare and other library resource sharing services. It demonstrates how resource sharing services extend the range of materials available in libraries across Texas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Collection of Data</strong></td>
<td>Includes interlibrary loans supplied, books, articles, audiovisuals, microforms, state publications, electronic publications and other materials supplied as well as the number of items circulated via the TexShare Card. The count of electronic documents represents the number of times project-funded resources (files, menus, graphics, or services) are used. Includes database searching results and database gateway services, but does not include typical Web-page browsing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method of Calculation</strong></td>
<td>Reported quarterly, based on computer logs or on-site counts by participating libraries, contracting vendors, and the State Library. Some reports will include materials received from orders placed in the previous fiscal year. Based on non-unique counts each time materials are provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Limitations</strong></td>
<td>Since database and interlibrary loan statistics are submitted by third parties, they are sometimes incomplete, or are sent too late for inclusion in quarterly reports. Database vendors do not uniformly define and report the number of electronic publications accessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculation Type</strong></td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Measure</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired Performance</strong></td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority / Key Measure</strong></td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Number of persons provided project-sponsored services by library resource sharing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the number of people who receive TexShare and other library resource sharing services.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>The measure demonstrates the wide range of Texans who benefit from TexShare and other library resource sharing services. It illustrates the impact of these cooperative programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Collection of Data</strong></td>
<td>Measures instances of use of materials, services and activities. Includes interlibrary loans requested, number of reciprocal borrowers cards issued, and the number of search sessions on project-funded resources. A “session” is defined by the cumulative hits to the site by a single individual, as defined by a unique IP (Internet Protocol) address. A particular IP address is considered new/unique if the server has no record of activity for 30 minutes. Additionally, a &quot;session&quot; may be counted as beginning when a user logs into a particular service - such as a database - and ending when that person logs off that service. Includes database search sessions and database gateway services, but does not include typical Web-page browsing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculation Type</strong></td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Measure</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired Performance</strong></td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority / Key Measure</strong></td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Database statistics are reported by the database vendors; interlibrary loan and other transactions are submitted by participating libraries and a commercial vendor; and reciprocal borrowing transactions are reported by participating libraries.

Method of Calculation
Reported quarterly, based on computer logs or on-site counts by grant projects, contract vendors and the State Library. Some reports will include performance for projects funded in the previous fiscal year; reports from grant recipients may be based on sampling or other estimating techniques. Based on non-unique counts each time services are provided.

Data Limitations
Statistics from third parties are inconsistent and sometimes arrive too late to be reported. The number of “search sessions” may sometimes have to be estimated based on a count of actual searches performed.

Calculation Type
Cumulative

New Measure
No

Desired Performance
Higher than target

Priority / Key Measure
High / Yes

Number of librarians and others trained or assisted to use shared resources

Short Definition
This is the number of librarians and other customers receiving consulting or training services in TexShare and other library resource sharing programs from the State Library.

Purpose / Importance
This measure shows the number of clients who receive training and technical assistance from project staff. Training and consulting are critical to successful use of TexShare and other library resource sharing services.

Source / Collection of Data
Count of people (1) attending instructional workshops (based on sign-in sheets) or (2) receiving consulting technical assistance. Reported quarterly. Consulting includes assistance given by computer network, mail, telephone, and in person.

Method of Calculation
Based on non-unique counts by staff or contractors each time assistance or training is provided to librarians, library staffs, state agencies staff, state or local officials, or other customers. Calculated quarterly.

Data Limitations
Some reports may be based on sampling or other estimating techniques.

Calculation Type
Cumulative

New Measure
No

Desired Performance
Higher than target

Priority / Key Measure
Low / No

STRATEGY A.1.1 EFFICIENCY MEASURES

Number of days of average turnaround time for interlibrary loans

Short Definition
This is the average number of days it takes for a library to receive items requested through interlibrary loan.

Purpose / Importance
Interlibrary loan is a central component of library resource sharing. One measure of success is the average number of days it takes for a library to receive a requested item. It illustrates the success of efforts to implement ongoing process improvements.
### Source / Collection of Data
This data is based on statistical samples of filled requests, as submitted from resource centers, local libraries, and the computer network operator.

### Method of Calculation
This figure reflects a weighted average of: (1) the average number of days it takes a library to receive requested materials when the material is available from the nearest resource center library; and (2) the average number of days it takes a library to receive requested materials when the material is not available from the nearest resource center. These requests are referred to and filled by another lending library.

Data is based on statistical samples of filled requests, as submitted from resource centers, local libraries and the computer network vendor. Calculated annually.

### Data Limitations
Reports are based on sampling and other estimating techniques.

### Calculation Type
Non-cumulative

### New Measure
No

### Desired Performance
Lower than target

### Priority / Key Measure
Medium / No

## Cost per book and other library material provided by shared resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the unit cost of materials delivered via TexShare and other library resource sharing services.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>Resource sharing services dramatically expand the range of materials provided to libraries and the public. This measure shows the efficiency of TexShare and other library resource sharing services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Costs are derived from budget summaries. Costs include all direct and allocable indirect costs in the strategy. Calculated annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>This measure calculates a unit cost for all materials supplied. The cost of appropriate projects in this strategy is divided by the number of materials, loaned or supplied by those projects. The cost of projects is based on the final budgeted grant or project amounts at the end of each reporting period, or on actual amounts, when available. Calculated annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>The reported results are not always within the complete control of the agency. For example, if the complete number of search sessions or interlibrary loans supplied is not reported on time, the reported cost per use will be higher than actual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Lower than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Low / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## STRATEGY A.1.2 OUTPUT MEASURES

### Number of books and other library materials provided to libraries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>Measures the number of items added to library collections or provided to libraries through programs funded by the State Library and a variety of projects that support local libraries.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure shows the wide range of materials made available to libraries that might otherwise not have been purchased or provided for Texans, and that help improve library services. It provides a count of materials purchased for or provided to libraries as a result of projects designed to aid or assist libraries directly. Examples of projects in this strategy include the Texas Library System, Loan Star Libraries grants, special focus grants, continuing education and consulting provided by the State Library, and Texas Reading Club. This is a count of books, subscriptions, audiovisuals, microforms, electronic documents and other materials loaned or supplied to a Texas library (includes materials purchased by all projects, materials in circuit or deposit collections, articles and documents, instructional manuals, Library Science Collection circulations, subscriptions, and other publications). Based on non-unique counts each time materials are provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Count of books, subscriptions, audiovisuals, e-documents and other materials purchased, leased, loaned, or supplied to a library (includes items purchased by all projects, in circuit/deposit collections, interlibrary loans, articles and documents, etc.). Counts of e-documents represent number of times project-funded resources (web pages, graphics, or other electronic documents) are used. Focuses on materials libraries would usually include in a collection, not administrative or publicity items. Traditional items purchased (books, a/v, etc.) are counted as the library receives them. Counts of e-documents are collected through data collection software or by sampling or estimating. Reported at least quarterly, based on computer logs or on-site counts and tally sheets compiled by grantees, the agency, or contract vendors. Some reports may be based on sampling or other estimating techniques. Some reports will include materials received from orders placed in the previous fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>Numbers are compiled from various projects and tallied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Item counts may include materials from orders placed in a previous fiscal year. Database and e-resources statistics may be based on estimates and sampling. Statistics provided by commercial vendors sometimes vary in completeness. Data may be based on sampling or estimates. Data collected from grant projects may be received too late for inclusion is a particular report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of librarians trained or assisted in libraries

| Short Definition | Calculates the number of librarians, library staff, local officials, and others who receive training or assistance directly from the State Library, the Texas Library System, or other projects. |
### Purpose / Importance
This measure provides an indication of the amount of training and assistance provided to librarians and others to help improve library services. It counts people (1) attending or accessing instructional workshops or (2) receiving consulting assistance provided by the Texas Library System, the State Library, or other projects. It provides a measure of the amount of service the strategy is providing to librarians.

### Source / Collection of Data
Reported monthly or quarterly, based on on-site counts by the library systems, the State Library, or other projects; technical assistance includes assistance given by mail, e-mail, fax, telephone, and in person. Based on non-unique counts each time assistance or training is provided to librarians, library staffs, local officials, or others; some reports may be based on sampling or other estimating techniques. Sign-in sheets, electronic attendance logs, or on-site counts are used to count people attending instructional workshops. Staff record the number of people to whom they provide technical assistance by telephone, in-person, email, or mail.

### Method of Calculation
Data are compiled and tallied.

### Data Limitations
Data may be based on sampling or estimates. Some workshop attendees do not register and may not be counted.

### Calculation Type
Cumulative

### New Measure
No

### Desired Performance
Higher than target

### Priority / Key Measure
Medium / No

## Number of persons provided library project-sponsored services

### Short Definition
Calculates the number of Texans who receive direct services through the State Library, the Texas Library System, and a variety of other programs that support libraries.

### Purpose / Importance
Counts number of instances persons receive services as a result of projects in this strategy. These projects are available directly to the library; examples include the Texas Library System, Loan Star Libraries grants, special focus grants, continuing education & consulting services provided by the State Library, and the Texas Reading Club. Measures use by Texans of materials, services & activities provided by these projects. Includes persons receiving materials circulated by grant projects, persons viewing grant-supplied audiovisual materials, persons using circuit or deposit collections, persons attending project programs, number of reference questions, direct loans to non-residents, Texas Reading Club logs distributed, and direct use of other funded services. Also includes number of instances someone accesses an electronic information resource. Counts IP addresses; a particular IP address is considered new/unique if the server has no record of activity for 30 minutes.

### Source / Collection of Data
Reported at least quarterly, based on computer logs, tally sheets, or on-site counts by grant projects and State Library. Some reports may be based on sampling or other estimating techniques; will include performance for some projects funded in previous fiscal year. The usage of library materials is estimated by multiplying the reporting entity's average collection turnover rate for the most recent year by the number of materials it received from the project. Based on non-unique counts each time service is provided.

### Method of Calculation
Numbers for each project are compiled and tallied.
**Data Limitations**

Data may be based on sampling or estimates. Available software may not completely capture electronic usage. Collection turnover rates are calculated from data reported by the local libraries. Some libraries do not use Texas Reading Club logs even though they participate in the program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>High / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### STRATEGY A.1.2 EFFICIENCY MEASURES

**Cost per person provided local library project-sponsored services**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>Calculates the cost efficiencies of services provided through funded projects.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure calculates the cost effectiveness of providing local library project services. It demonstrates fiscal responsibility and the ability to provide effective service efficiently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>The cost of projects is based on the final budgeted grant or project amounts at the end of each reporting period. The cost of appropriate projects is divided by the number of persons provided local library project-sponsored services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>Final budget figures are divided by the number of persons served.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Lower than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Low / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### OBJECTIVE A.2 OUTCOME MEASURES

**Percent of eligible population registered for Talking Book Program services**

---

| Short Definition | This is the percentage of persons in Texas who are registered for service with the Talking Book Program (TBP), expressed as a ratio of all Texans estimated as being eligible for TBP services by virtue of a visual, physical or learning disability. |
| Purpose / Importance | This measure is intended to show the scope of service within the state and to indicate the program's level of success in serving as many eligible Texans as possible. |
| Source / Collection of Data | A count of all individual patrons who have registered for service and had a status of "active" at any time during the fiscal year is tallied by the database system. |
| Method of Calculation | The count of individual patrons who have been active is divided by the "Number of Texans Eligible for Talking Book Program Service" to produce a percentage. |
| Calculation Type | Non-cumulative |
| New Measure | No |
| Desired Performance | Lower than target |
| Priority / Key Measure | Low / No |

---

Data Limitations

The number of Texans estimated as eligible for service is calculated using a formula provided by the Library of Congress' National Library Service, but this formula has not been updated since 1979; the currency and accuracy of the census population information for Texas varies over time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Non-cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>High / Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRATEGY A.2.1 OUTPUT MEASURES**

**Number of persons served**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the total number of persons registered and actually receiving service from the program during the reporting period.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure is intended to document the number of individual Texans served. It tracks program service activity and growth patterns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Tallied by computer, based on date of last service as documented by the database system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The count of persons is not duplicative, is cumulative, and is updated monthly to include new patrons becoming active and receiving service as well as established patrons receiving service for the first time during the reporting period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Patrons registered with the program occasionally receive a book or magazine from an entity affiliated with TBP without TBP's knowledge; if the item loaned to the patron is not circulated from our collection it is not tracked by the database system and if it is the only item loaned to the patron within the reporting period, the patron is not counted as having received service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of institutions served**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the total number of institutions registered and actually receiving service from the program during the reporting period.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>A significant portion of Texans who meet eligibility requirements receive services from the Talking Book Program through another organization, such as a retirement home, learning resource center, library, disabled students center, or classroom in a public or private school or college. This count represents the number of institutions serving patrons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Tallied by computer based on date of last service in the database.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The count of institutions is not duplicative, is cumulative, and is updated monthly to include new institutions becoming active and receiving service as well as established institutions receiving service for the first time during the reporting period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Data Limitations

Institutions registered with TBP occasionally receive a book or magazine from an entity affiliated with TBP without TBP's knowledge; if the item loaned to the institution is not circulated from our collection it is not tracked in the database and if it is the only item loaned to the institution within the reporting period, the institution is not counted as having received service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Limitations</th>
<th>Institutions registered with TBP occasionally receive a book or magazine from an entity affiliated with TBP without TBP's knowledge; if the item loaned to the institution is not circulated from our collection it is not tracked in the database and if it is the only item loaned to the institution within the reporting period, the institution is not counted as having received service.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Low / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of volumes circulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>Total number of books and magazines sent to registered and active patrons.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure complements the strategy's other output measures by depicting the extent of service provided to active patrons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>The count of volumes circulated is tallied by computer based on daily circulation as tracked by the database system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>Reports from the database are produced daily and summarized monthly throughout the reporting period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Of the items specifically requested by patrons, or selected for the patrons, some are unavailable; this lack of availability limits circulation. Also, it is possible, however unlikely, for items to be mailed without first being checked out and counted by the computer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>High / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STRATEGY A.2.1 EFFICIENCY MEASURES

#### Cost per person served

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the unit cost to provide service to each individual patron and institution served during the reporting period.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure evaluates cost efficiency of the program; changes in cost per person can reveal an increase or decrease in overall efficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Computer tracks expenditures and persons/institutions served and unit cost is calculated manually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The total direct costs from appropriated funds are divided by the number of individual and institutional patrons served.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>The limitations associated with the &quot;Number of Persons Served&quot; and &quot;Number of Institutions Served&quot; are relevant for this measure since its calculation includes the counts for those two output measures. Total expenditures may change slightly after the time this calculation is performed at the close of the fiscal year, but the changes would be negligible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Lower than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Low / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cost per volume circulated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the unit cost to circulate each volume, including books and magazines, to patrons throughout the reporting period.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure evaluates the cost efficiency of the program; changes in cost per volume circulated can reveal an increase or decrease in overall efficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Computer tracks expenditures and volumes circulated; unit cost is calculated manually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The total direct costs from appropriate funds are divided by the number of volumes circulated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>The limitations associated with the &quot;Number of Volumes Circulated&quot; measure are relevant for this measure since its calculation includes the count for that output measure. Total expenditures may change slightly after the time this calculation is performed at the close of the fiscal year, but the changes would be negligible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Lower than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRATEGY A.2.1 EXPLANATORY MEASURES**

**Number of Texans eligible for Talking Book Program service**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the number of Texans estimated to be eligible for Talking Book Program service, derived from a formula endorsed by the Library of Congress' National Library Service.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure provides an estimate of potential need for service in Texas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Calculated manually based on population estimates provided by the Comptroller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The total Texas population as estimated by the Comptroller is multiplied by .014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>The formula provided by the Library of Congress' National Library Service has not been updated since 1979. The currency and accuracy of the population information varies over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OBJECTIVE B.1 OUTCOME MEASURES

#### Percent of customers satisfied with State Library reference and information services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short Definition</strong></td>
<td>Quarterly surveys will be used to measure the level of customer satisfaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>This measurement provides an assessment of the level of customer satisfaction in regard to the overall availability and delivery of information services and serves as an indication of the extent to which improvements are needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Collection of Data</strong></td>
<td>The agency will survey all persons who contact any of the four reference units of the Archives and Information Services (ARIS) Division for reference or research services on two sample days quarterly. Survey days will vary during the third and ninth week of each quarter. All individuals surveyed will be given an opportunity to provide additional comments including complaints or suggestions for improvement. No personal information will be requested as part of the survey. That day, customers having contact with staff via on-site visits, telephone, or written requests will be asked if they are satisfied with the services they received from the specific unit; the survey will be administered when the response to the customer's request is provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method of Calculation</strong></td>
<td>To compute a percentage of satisfied customers, the number of persons who respond &quot;yes&quot; will be divided by the total number responding for that day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Limitations</strong></td>
<td>Customers may indicate dissatisfaction with the services received when informed that a requested resource is either unavailable or simply does not exist. Respondents may mark more than one score (these will be considered non-respondents).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculation Type</strong></td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Measure</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired Performance</strong></td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority / Key Measure</strong></td>
<td>High / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STRATEGY B.1.1 OUTPUT MEASURE

#### Number of assists with information resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short Definition</strong></td>
<td>The number of times staff assist customers to find information; the staff will provide customers with information resources or informational responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>The measure provides an indication of the degree of success achieved in continually improving services to customers and a measure of staff effectiveness in maintaining familiarity with internal and external resources. Customer assistance involves the knowledge, use, recommendation, interpretation of, or instruction in the use of one or more information resources by a staff member; directing a person to a source outside the agency known to possess the desired information; or, verifying that the information requested is not available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Source / Collection of Data | Staff count all onsite information resources used by customers, or by staff assisting customers, as those materials are returned to their original locations. Staff also count those contacts where the provision of information does not involve the use of onsite resources.

The assistance to customers includes responses to reference questions received by mail, phone, fax, e-mail, or in person. Onsite information resources include individually numbered containers of archival documents and items assigned and retrieved by means of a unique/locator number. External resources include libraries, institutions, organizations, or individuals, as well as databases, library catalogs, and other electronic information. Customer visits to the agency Web site are not included. Daily counts are tallied on a monthly basis.

Method of Calculation | The monthly total of customers assisted without the use of onsite information resources is combined with the monthly total of information resources used onsite to assist customers.

Data Limitations | Information resources might be returned to their original locations by non-staff members, which would result in an undercount of usage.

Calculation Type | Cumulative

New Measure | No

Desired Performance | Higher than target

Priority / Key Measure | High / No

### STRATEGY B.1.1 EFFICIENCY MEASURE

**Cost per assist with information resources**

| Short Definition | A unit cost figure is derived by dividing the total of all appropriate direct costs by the total number of assists with information resources.

| Purpose / Importance | This unit cost figure is an important tool for measuring the overall efficiency of providing ready access to information.

| Source / Collection of Data | Staff maintain individual documentation of number of assists with information resources; this is calculated and reported monthly. Applicable direct costs are determined annually using data derived from the state accounting system.

| Method of Calculation | The cost to assist with information resources is calculated by subtracting the costs of purchasing published materials, appraising, accessioning and processing archival or other documents for current and future use from the sum of all direct costs and dividing by the total number of assists with information resources by staff who provide service from all four collections.

| Data Limitations | Two of the collections use full counts while two others employ a combination of full counts and statistical sampling in gathering data.

| Calculation Type | Non-cumulative

| New Measure | No

| Desired Performance | Lower than target

| Priority / Key Measure | Low / No
**STRATEGY B.1.1 EXPLANATORY MEASURE**

**Number of Web-based information resources used**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>The number of times Web-based information resources provided by the Archives and Information Services (ARIS) Division are accessed by customers. Web-based document views (defined as the number of static Web pages accessed and does not include pages, forms, or search queries) will be considered as Web-based information resources.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure provides an indication of the degree of success of the agency's efforts to improve access to information by continually making new access tools and information resources available via the Internet, including full-text information, links to other Web pages, and digital reproductions of original archival materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Web document views are tallied automatically in a monthly report generated by the Web log analyzer used by the agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The total number of Web documents used is calculated by the Web log analysis software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>A failure or &quot;glitch&quot; of the software used to analyze Web use may result in an inaccurate count.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Low/No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OBJECTIVE C.1 OUTCOME MEASURES**

**Percent of state agencies administering programs based on approved records schedules**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the percentage of state agencies that have submitted records retention schedules and have had the schedules approved, as required by Government Code, §441.185.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure tracks the level of compliance with state records management laws and reflects the agency's efforts to procure compliance. Compliance with records management laws improves public access to government information, provides for government accountability, and fosters cost-effective government record-keeping practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Approved records retention schedules are maintained in paper and evidence of approval is entered into a database. The number of agencies is determined at the beginning of each fiscal year. Agencies that are administratively supported by and receive their funding through the appropriated budget of another state agency are considered part of the supporting agency. State universities and colleges that are part of a university or state college system are considered part of the system. The Texas County and District Retirement System and the Texas Municipal Retirement System are state agencies by the Government Code, 441.185.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Percent of local government offices administering programs based on approved records schedules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Method of Calculation</strong></th>
<th>Divide the total number of local governments in compliance by the total number of local governments. Calculated monthly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Limitations</strong></td>
<td>New local governments are created each year and some are abolished; thus, the total number of local governments fluctuates unpredictably from year to year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculation Type</strong></td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Measure</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired Performance</strong></td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority / Key Measure</strong></td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Short Definition**

This is the percentage of local governments that have, in accordance with the Local Government Code, §201.041: (1) submitted a records control schedule and have had the schedule approved; (2) adopted the records retention schedules issued by the State Library and Archives Commission; or (3) declared that all records will be maintained permanently.

**Purpose / Importance**

This measure tracks the level of compliance with the Local Government Records Act of 1989 and reflects the agency's efforts to procure compliance. Compliance with the act improves public access to government information, provides for government accountability, and fosters cost-effective government recordkeeping practices.

**Source / Collection of Data**

Documents demonstrating compliance are maintained in paper and evidence of compliance is entered into a database. The agency determines at the beginning of each fiscal year the total number of local governments subject to the Local Government Records Act with sources provided by other state agencies and government associations. Legally established, but otherwise inactive, water districts are not included in the total number of local governments.
### Dollar value of cost-avoidance achieved for state records storage/maintenance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is an estimate of the total costs avoided by Austin-area state agencies from using the State Records Center.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure is an indicator of dollars saved by removing non-current records of Austin-area state agencies from high-cost office space and placing them in the low-cost State Records Center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>The estimated cost to store a cubic foot of records in Austin-area office space is determined at the beginning of each fiscal year from data provided by the General Services Commission (lease office space and filing equipment costs) and the Appropriations Act (file clerk salaries). The number of cubic feet stored in the State Records Center is taken from Output Measure C.1.1 (Number of cubic feet stored/maintained). Records Center costs include all direct and allocable indirect costs in the strategy and are derived from internal budget summaries and databases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The cost to store a cubic foot of records in office space is multiplied by the number of cubic feet of records in storage at the State Records Center. Records Center storage and maintenance costs are subtracted from the office environment storage and maintenance costs to determine the total net cost-avoidance to the state. Calculated monthly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>The cost per cubic foot to store and maintain records in an office environment varies from agency to agency, depending on the cost of their building and the level of staffing employed. An overall estimated average is used; no effort is made to weight the average based on the number of cubic feet each agency stores in the Records Center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STRATEGY C.1.1 OUTPUT MEASURES

#### Number of cubic feet stored/maintained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the total number of cubic feet of paper documents (hard copy records) that are stored by state agencies in the State Records Center.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure indicates the extent to which the State Records Center is used by state agencies to store hard copies of their records; this in turn, indicates the level of customer satisfaction with the services and the competitiveness of the fee structure for the services. Use of the State Records Center results in cost-avoidance as shown by Outcome Measure C.1.1 (Dollar value of cost-avoidance achieved for state records storage/maintenance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Hard copy records indexes (records accessioned prior to 1995) and an inventory database (records accessioned in 1995 and after) are updated continuously throughout the month as records move into and out of storage. Final total calculated monthly.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Method of Calculation

The total cubic feet shown in the hard copy records indexes is added to the total cubic feet shown in the inventory database to compute a total at the end of each month.

### Data Limitations

The number of cubic feet may vary unexpectedly as customer agencies may increase or decrease the volume of their records stored in the Center on short notice.

### Calculation Type

Non-cumulative

### New Measure

No

### Desired Performance

Higher than target

### Priority / Key Measure

High / Yes

### Number of state and local government employees assisted or trained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the number of state agency and local government employees receiving consulting or training services in records and information management from the agency.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>This measure indicates the level of interest by state and local government officials in records management and in complying with the state and local government records management statutes. This measure also reflects this agency's efforts to provide training and consulting services to stimulate the continued growth of records management in Texas government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Staff complete electronic consulting and training logs maintained in a database on a daily basis. Calculated monthly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>The total number of persons receiving consulting are added to the total number of those receiving training services in a given month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>As governments develop more sophisticated programs, the need for routine training or technical assistance declines. This decreased need is usually offset by the needs of other governments that wish to improve their less advanced programs, but the ratio and the resulting target is not easily predictable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Revenue from Storage Services

| Short Definition | This is the total amount of fees billed to customers for the records storage services provided by the agency. |
| Purpose / Importance | Since this agency's records storage services are on a full cost-recovery basis, the constant tracking and monitoring of revenues and expenses is important to ensure fees are in line with costs. Fees are set to recover all direct and allocable indirect costs in the strategy. Storage services include the physical transfer of paper, microfilm, and electronic record media from state agencies to the secure, environmentally controlled State Records Center; indexing, coding, and shelving |
of containers; retrieval, delivery and pick-up of records upon request; updating inventory indexes in compliance with changing records retention requirements; and ensuring the proper, final disposition of records, once retention requirement have been met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source / Collection of Data</th>
<th>Databases are used to document the volume of stored records in all formats and fees billed for storage services. The volume of stored records is updated daily and fees billed are calculated monthly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>Total fees billed for storage services as determined by end of month figures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Total revenue will vary depending on the volume of records stored by state agencies during a given fiscal year. There is nothing in state law that requires agencies to use this agency's records storage services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Revenue from Imaging Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Definition</th>
<th>This is the total amount of fees billed to customers for the imaging services provided by the agency.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose / Importance</td>
<td>Since the agency's imaging services are on a full cost-recovery basis, the constant tracking and monitoring of revenues and expenses is important to ensure fees are in line with costs. Fees are set to recover all direct and allocable indirect costs in the strategy. Microfilm imaging includes source document microfilming, dark-room operations for film processing and duplicating, quality control editing, and chemical testing of microfilm. Digital imaging includes scanning of original documents and conversion of computer output to laser disc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Collection of Data</td>
<td>Databases are used to track work performed and fees billed for imaging services. Work performed is updated daily and fees billed are calculated monthly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Calculation</td>
<td>Total fees billed for imaging services as determined by end of month figures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Total revenue will vary depending on volume of imaging work during a given fiscal year. Legislative requirements regarding the use of a contract workforce may not allow us to achieve the maximum revenue possible. There is nothing in state law that requires agencies to image records or to use this agency for imaging services if they do image records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### STRATEGY C.1.1 EFFICIENCY MEASURES

#### Cost per cubic foot stored/maintained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Short Definition</strong></th>
<th>This is the cost to the State Library and Archives Commission to store a cubic foot of hard copy records in the State Records Center.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>This is an important measure because it indicates the cost competitiveness of the records storage services, as well as the degree to which operating costs are controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Collection of Data</strong></td>
<td>The total number of cubic feet stored in the State Records Center is the Output Measure C.1.1 (Number of cubic feet stored/maintained). Records center costs are derived from monthly budget summaries and databases. Costs include all direct and allocable indirect costs in the strategy. Calculated monthly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method of Calculation</strong></td>
<td>The total number of cu. ft. of records stored in the State Records Center at the end of each month is divided by the costs of operating the Center during the month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Limitations</strong></td>
<td>The reported results of this measure are not always within the complete control of the agency. For example, if the volume of records stored/maintained exceeds target due to more agencies storing greater volumes of records, this will reduce the cost per cubic foot but will likely reduce the timeliness and quality of services due to the inability to staff the operation at a level proportionate to the demand for services. Ideally, the cost per cubic foot should remain at or near target, indicating expenses are in line with service levels. A sharp spike up in the targeted unit cost indicates operating costs are inappropriately high for the volume of work. A sharp spike down may indicate the volume of work is exceeding the program's ability to maintain acceptable service levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculation Type</strong></td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Measure</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired Performance</strong></td>
<td>Lower than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority / Key Measure</strong></td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Imaging services production revenue per FTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Short Definition</strong></th>
<th>This is a calculation of the total annual production revenue per FTE in the agency's imaging services operations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose / Importance</strong></td>
<td>This measure is important because when the revenue per FTE declines, it indicates problems such as lower worker productivity, incorrect bidding of jobs, or an outdated fee structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Collection of Data</strong></td>
<td>FTE data is maintained in a database and updated monthly. Revenue data are maintained in accounting and billing databases and are updated and calculated monthly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method of Calculation</strong></td>
<td>Total receipts earned and billed for imaging services during the month divided by the total number of FTEs used in imaging services, both permanent and contract labor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Limitations</td>
<td>Although imaging projects are bid carefully, problems occasionally arise that require the allocation to a project of more permanent FTEs than originally planned without a corresponding increase in revenue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Type</td>
<td>Non-cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority / Key Measure</td>
<td>Medium / No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. OVERVIEW
The Texas State Library and Archives Commission and staff believe all Texans have the right to barrier-free access to library and information services that meet personal and professional needs and interests, provided by well-trained, customer-oriented staff.

The mission of the Texas State Library and Archives Commission is to safeguard significant resources, provide information services that inspire and support research, education and reading, and enhance the capacity for achievement of current and future generations. To accomplish this, we:

- Preserve the record of government for public scrutiny
- Secure and make accessible historically significant records and other valuable resources
- Meet the reading needs of Texans with disabilities
- Build and sustain statewide partnerships to improve library programs and services, and
- Enhance the capacity for achievement of individuals and institutions with whom we work.

To accomplish our mission, we work to achieve three programmatic goals and four objectives. These are outlined below.

Goal 1: To improve the availability of library and information services
Objective 1.1: Increase percent of public libraries that have improved their services or resources
Objective 1.2: Increase library use by Texans with disabilities

Goal 2: To improve information services by answering reference questions
Objective 2.1: Improve information to public and others by answering reference questions

Goal 3: To achieve cost-effective management of state and local records
Objective 3.1: Achieve record retention rate for state-local government

We serve a variety of roles in state government to accomplish these goals. These roles range from Advisor to Innovator, and form the basis for our strategic planning efforts.

Our core business functions include the following:
- Provide grant funding, technical assistance and continuing education support for establishing, expanding, and improving public and academic library services statewide
- Provide access to state and federal government documents
- Provide access to specialized genealogical materials
- Support interlibrary cooperation and resource sharing programs among all types of libraries
- Provide recorded books and magazines for Texans who are blind or physically handicapped
- Provide technical assistance to state and local records managers
- Provide safe, economical storage of print and electronic records for state agencies
- Preserve historically-significant state records and documents

We do not anticipate any major changes to our mission, strategies or goals over the next five years in our state-mandated programs. The primary changes we anticipate will be in the way we deliver our programs and services. We are increasingly using technology to provide information and other services in electronic formats in response to customer demands. This shift will require us to continually re-examine our existing workforce and ensure our future workforce has the skills necessary to make this shift successful.
Our federal programs are subject to change based on actions at the federal level; however, these changes are generally publicized well in advance of expected implementation. We will be able to readjust our workforce to meet future changes in these programs with minimal disruption to current service delivery.

We currently operate seven program divisions: Archives and Information Services, Information Resource Technologies, Library Development, Library Resource Sharing, State and Local Records Management, the Talking Book Program, and Administrative Services. We do not anticipate a need to reorganize this structure in the next five years.

Our agency has three independent “libraries” operating within the agency, all of which serve separate and distinct customers. In addition, we preserve the state’s records, store and image other agencies’ records, and provide consulting and training services to our constituent groups. Therefore, the organizational structure of the agency is departmental, and the staff structure is hierarchical within those departments. We recently implemented self-managed teams in some of our departments, and there will be greater emphasis on teamwork and streamlining of staffing functions throughout the agency. However, the basic organizational structure should change very little.

As an agency, we will continue to focus on improving service delivery to our customers and patrons while maintaining our current staffing levels. This includes meeting emerging customer demands for services in digitized and Spanish-language formats.

II. CURRENT WORKFORCE PROFILE (SUPPLY ANALYSIS)

DEMOGRAPHICS

The following table details the ethnic and gender breakdown of our workforce as of December 31, 2005, by job category as defined by the Texas Commission on Human Rights. The agency is authorized 206.3 FTEs, and we have 190 full-time and 33 part-time staff when we are at full employment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Category</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Hispanic American</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Subtotals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officials/Adminiators</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Support</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technicians</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service/Maintenance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionals</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-Professionals</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled/Craft</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of Workforce

- 3%
- 15%
- 36%
- 1%
- 144%
- 199%

Source: TSLAC Class Chart, 12/31/05

Our agency continues to face challenges in recruiting qualified minorities. We received a total of 641 applications for the 34 job vacancy notices posted during FY 2005. Although the number of posted positions declined by 40 percent from 2003, the overall number of applications received for posted vacancies increased dramatically (45%): from 349 applicants in FY 2003, to 641 applicants in FY05.
Only 451 of the 641 applicants indicated a race on the EEO form submitted with their applications. This translated into a six-point increase in the percentage of minority applications received: from 26 percent in FY 2003, to 32.5 percent in FY 2005. Unfortunately, the number of “qualified” minority applicants decreased significantly during the same period: from 73 percent in FY 2003, down to 28.6 percent in FY 2005. This result indicates that while we have received a larger net number of applications from minority candidates, a lower number of the minority applicants actually met minimum qualifications for the jobs available.

The threat of “brain drain” presents an additional threat to our agency. Since 2003, 18 staff retired under the retirement incentive program that became effective on August 31, 2003. Because many of the staff were in key management positions, the agency made the decision to re-hire the majority of those retiring to prevent the massive, unanticipated loss of institutional knowledge. As of Jan. 31, 2006, we have 39 employees with over 20 years of total state service; 14 of these are re-hire retirees. Of the remaining 25 employees with over 20 years of state service, 16 are eligible to retire during the current biennium, with another 11 employees reaching retirement eligibility within the next 5 years.

We will continue to explore ways to close the anticipated gap of institutional knowledge, including additional work on the agency’s formal succession plan. We have 32 employees with over 20 years of service with the commission, and another 14 with more than 15 years with the commission. However, the largest percentage of our staff (25%) have less than five years service with the commission, with the next largest percentage being those with two to five years of commission service. Closing the anticipated gap in institutional knowledge is an area where we will focus our efforts in the next two to five years.

Similarly, over 65 percent of our workforce is over the age of 40, and many of these have more than 20 years of state service. We anticipate "brain drain" to be a continuing issue for us over the next several years.

Our management philosophy is to promote from within whenever there is a qualified internal candidate for a vacancy. This philosophy has been very effective, as evidenced by the many key managers and program specialists who have chosen to advance their career within the agency. As a result, a large number of staff has acquired institutional knowledge that will be difficult to replace.

**TURNOVER AND ATTRITION**

A high staff turnover rate in FY2001 (29.3 percent) prompted us to examine our policies and take additional steps to ensure maximum employee retention and reduce the number of voluntary turnovers. These efforts have been successful in reducing our rate to 10.6 percent for FY2005.

Many of our positions are clerical or para-professional in nature and are not intended to be career jobs. These positions tend to have a higher turnover rate as staff seek increased salaries and better possibilities for advancement from employment elsewhere. Many of the job tasks in these positions are repetitious and unchallenging, with little opportunity for staff to utilize their creativity. In addition, the physical work environments in our storage and circulation facilities do not foster a desire to remain in those positions for an extended period. Attrition rates for these positions will continue to be moderate to high. However, internal promotions have helped reduce the number of these staff who actually leave the agency to work elsewhere.
CRITICAL WORKFORCE SKILLS

In order to fulfill our mission, we must have individuals with advanced information research, organization and retrieval skills. In particular, our workforce needs the ability to integrate emerging technologies into the information management process.

We have a number of positions that require highly specialized skills, such as professional librarians, archivists, and government information analysts, in addition to IT professionals. Ninety-two of our positions are categorized as professional. Of these, 42 require an advanced degree, and the remainder require a bachelor’s degree or college coursework.

Our current workforce needs additional computer literacy and proficiency skills training to ensure we remain in-step with rapidly advancing technology. We are delivering more of our services electronically, and all staff will need to have basic computer skills. In addition, many staff will need to attain proficiency in various applications and bring more of the work processes to their own desktop computers.

Our movement towards digitization of documents and information requires non-IT staff to become proficient in this emerging technology. Some of the technical skills currently required (microfilming, tape duplication, etc.) will no longer be necessary when we transition to the new technology. Customer demand for these new services and available technology will determine how quickly this transition is made.

CHALLENGES RECRUITING MISSION-CRITICAL SKILLS

State agency salaries continue to lag behind the regional marketplace, particularly for positions located in the Austin area. This limits our ability to successfully recruit the most qualified candidates for some of our critical professional and management positions. In addition, evolving job responsibilities demand a proportionately larger return in skill level, education, and ability.

We have significantly raised the salary levels within our agency since FY 2000, as reflected in the chart below. Many of our jobs have become increasingly complex, and we have adjusted job responsibilities and position classifications accordingly. However, we have a large number of staff who have been in their positions for many years, and an additional challenge we face is increasing these salaries comparable to what we are able to offer new hires. The large number of part-time positions in the clerical series is also a challenge to raising overall salaries in our agency.

![Salary Analysis Chart]
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Staff have acquired some of our mission-critical skills over time, such as institutional knowledge of our particular collections. Agency "experts" expedite the flow of information and maintain the high levels of customer service we strive to provide. As individuals retire, replacements with the same skills and knowledge are harder to secure for the salaries we can pay.

The increasing number of customers we serve and the growing number of programs we administer, have resulted in a constantly expanding workload for a static number of employees. This is particularly apparent in the Schedule A positions, where the salaries are lower and the tasks are more repetitive in nature. The challenge will be to keep these jobs interesting and help identify better career ladders for all positions in our agency.

As we reduce turnover among the professional positions, we inadvertently create a lack of advancement among the entry-level positions. This paradox presents an additional challenge in implementing mentoring systems and career ladders throughout our organizational structure. Additionally, most of our higher-level positions require advanced degrees, and this also limits the career advancement possibilities for staff in entry-level positions.

Our agency continues to be affected by the Boom/Bust economy of the Austin metropolitan area. When economic times are good, we experience extremely high attrition as qualified staff move to better paying positions. Alternately, the bust cycles bring with them more skilled workers who are attracted to the stability that State employment offers. This is particularly true for the Information Technology positions. We must continue to make employment at our agency more appealing, especially during boom cycles.

**III. FUTURE WORKFORCE PROFILE (DEMAND ANALYSIS)**

In order to fulfill our strategic plan and meet the informational needs of our customers, we must perform the following critical functions:

- prepare archives entrusted to our agency for effective and efficient use and access
- increase efforts for preserving library and archival materials
- provide advice and assistance to state and local government personnel on management of records and library operations
- acquire, reproduce, and distribute large amounts of material and information to deliver program services
- perform the duties required by our legislative mandates and maximize use of the Internet for distributing information
- maintain our existing and future equipment to ensure efficient service delivery

While we do not anticipate any new programs, many of the current programs will add or expand services and service delivery mechanisms. This will include hosting additional digital image files on the Web, replacing outdated database systems, and implementing new methods for delivering training and consulting services. The expansion of our state publications program to include current and archival electronic documents is evidence of the change in service delivery.

Within the next two years, we will need to develop the skills and abilities to provide for the acquisition, management and preservation of electronic records. Skilled archivists and/or information technology professionals will be needed to implement standards and policies for electronic records transferred to our agency to ensure they are maintained permanently.
We have recently added several facets to the services we deliver, significantly changing the means by which we deliver these services. We have installed 11 video conferencing centers around the state. In addition, we added the capability for web-based learning systems to allow customers to access training on an as-needed basis and added an initiative for collaborative digitization planning and access to our resource sharing program. This requires more advanced technical and programmatic support for our staff and local partner entities.

**Future Workforce Skills**

Required workforce skills over the next several years will become even more highly specialized. Many actual workloads will transition from being primarily process-based to more analysis-based. For many customers, we plan to move to a system requiring less paperwork and more on-demand consulting assistance. This will require professional staff to have additional skills in auditing, communication, consulting, report writing and systems analysis, in addition to experience and knowledge of program requirements. Over the next five years, we will incorporate web-based and distance learning classes to our repertoire, adding technological skills to the existing training skills required for these positions, particularly as we implement the transition from paper to electronic records.

Customer demand for information delivered in digital formats is increasing and requires more staff at all levels within our agency to have some technical proficiency in order to fulfill these requests. In addition, staff must know and understand cutting-edge information management systems to effectively train and consult with our customers. Because we see this as a national and international work flow trend, we will require a workforce well-versed in emerging technology and practices, who are able to network effectively with customers, partner organizations, vendors, and stakeholders. Staff in professional and management positions will need to apply and understand both basic and advanced technology, and the issues associated with it in the development of new services. In addition, they must possess the ability to understand, interpret, and create policies, procedures, rules, regulations, etc. so that they are able to write, edit, summarize and succinctly report information from diverse sources.

We anticipate an increase in the importance of the Public Information Act, particularly within our agency as the custodian of state government records. Our future workforce must be well-versed on the provisions of the Public Information Act in order to provide the best customer service while meeting the legal requirements of this law.

Staff in many areas will need to be skilled in electronic records applications and outputs, and have a background and/or training in the design of automated systems. Staff will also need skills in cataloging electronic documents, as well as skills in the new Online Computer Library Center, OCLC interface.

Technological advancements have increased the complexity of records created by government. These advancements require new equipment and computer platforms that must be deployed and supported. We will assume a wider range of technical responsibilities for projects, including systems analysis and project planning, cost estimation and resource acquisition, installation, support, life cycle maintenance, and technology refreshment.

Economic and social conditions in Texas reinforce the need to maintain the cost-efficient statewide resource-sharing programs. The Hispanic/Spanish-speaking population in Texas is growing rapidly, and we must have adequate bilingual staff to meet customer needs. Demands for services, particularly in the Talking Book Program, will increase as the populations of both aged and disabled
persons continues to increase. Our statewide TexShare database program must continue to be sensitive to the online research needs of the Spanish-speaking population.

**TRAINING NEEDS**

In many instances, program budgets do not allow for adequate professional training and/or development, which often requires out-of-state travel. Even with use of distance learning technologies and the budget to accommodate that need, our current workloads and staffing levels do not allow staff time to take advantage of available training opportunities. We need to secure the resources to break out of a frustrating cycle: because staff do not have adequate training available, too much time is spent performing tasks using tedious, out-dated methods. The resulting drain on staff time prevents them from learning new skills that would save them time in the long run.

As part of the on-going efforts to increase the ability of the cataloging staff to catalog electronic format materials, the agency has utilized on-line Internet based training courses. We will continue to look for and take advantage of similar Internet based training opportunities.

As previously indicated, continuous, planned technology training will be critical in all program areas.

**STAFFING**

We are already understaffed across the agency, and we expect that situation to persist. We anticipate an increase in the demand for our current services, and that technology will require us to provide increasingly complex services. Additional staff is needed to meet current challenges; the shortage will be more acute in the future.

As the need for more advanced technical skills increases, we anticipate we will need additional technical staff to support both the agency staff and equipment. Within the next five years, we anticipate the need for one additional desktop support position, and one additional systems analyst in the Information Resource Technologies (IRT) division, as well as a reshuffling of existing technical positions. We are working to develop an adequate IRT staff that can efficiently support the growing needs of the agency.

We are administering the two-year Texas Heritage Digitization Initiative grant project without expanding the total number of FTEs in the agency. Should TSLAC accept ongoing responsibility for this service, long term staffing needs would have to be addressed.

Within the next five years, several factors will affect an increase in the population eligible for the Talking Book Program. The eligible population will be impacted by the aging baby-boom population, the rise in certain medical conditions, such as diabetes, and better testing and diagnosis of reading disorders. We also expect more clients to join the program once the federal authorizing agency makes new digital equipment and digital books widely available. We anticipate the need to increase staff in consulting and readers’ advisory services, public outreach, and audio production services. At the same time, staff in the circulation section may be reduced or re-assigned.

State agencies demand more records center services than we can accomplish with current resources. The level of services that can be provided to state agencies for paper records storage is limited by the staffing restrictions enacted by the legislature. Even though records center services are funded on a cost-recovery basis and could theoretically respond to agency needs by paying for additional staff through records center services fees, we cannot exceed the mandatory FTE limit imposed by the legislature.
IV. GAP ANALYSIS

SKILLS

In some cases, our current staff has the skills needed for the future. In many instances, our workforce is capable of acquiring the needed skills with minimal training or other resources. However, a large number of staff in the agency does not possess even basic computer skills, and many more lack sufficient skills to be efficient in their positions. We will need to provide more computer training, particularly in the creation, use, and manipulation of increasingly sophisticated databases. These skills must be available at the desktop level, and not dependent on IT staff.

A large number (92 of 206.3) of our positions are professional, meaning they require expertise or education in a specific area. The professionals are required to continue acquiring education and experience relative to their fields. This ensures instant credibility as the professionals work with the general public, high-ranking agency staff, and state and local elected officials.

The future skill requirements identified previously relate to management and dissemination of information, documents, records, and other agency services in electronic formats. Digital imaging, enhanced databases, and sound recording are the most notable emerging technologies that we need to actively embrace.

As we move toward digital information sharing, current skills for duplication and distribution of information in cassette tape, microfilm, and microfiche formats will no longer be required or will be required at a much diminished level. Similarly, the skills required for repairing and maintaining the equipment for these processes will be phased out or eliminated.

Increasingly, we will need employees with bilingual skills, particularly English/Spanish, to meet the needs of the growing Spanish-speaking population in Texas.

RECRUITMENT

Long-term vacancies and a shortage of qualified replacement staff for management and other key program positions are anticipated with 9 staff eligible to retire in the next two years. This could be exacerbated by the expanding skill sets required for replacements. Salaries for many of the professional positions are significantly lower than the regional and national averages. In addition, fewer people are obtaining college degrees in the specialized fields required for many of our professional positions, according to national research by the American Library Association. This trend is expected to continue. We anticipate a shortage of employees and/or extended vacancies as we recruit qualified replacements.

Many other governmental entities are creating positions similar to those at our agency. Records Management Officer, Librarian, and Archivist positions have historically been few at other state agencies and local governments. This change has created an additional level of competition for recruiting for these professional positions.

STAFFING LEVELS

The current workforce is inadequate to meet the workload demands in many of our program areas. Over the next several years, we expect an increase in the number and types of customers served, the use of the automated services we provide, and the use of consulting services that help our customers
and partners keep current with technology. Additional staff will be needed to support the deployment and maintenance of technology and Internet-based services.

The volume of paper records is expected to continue to increase during the next 10 to 15 years, despite efforts to go to a “paperless” society. If this trend continues, we will need additional staff to properly arrange, describe, and provide mandated access to archival records.

V. STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT

MAINTAINING MISSION-CRITICAL SKILLS

To be successful, staff need to learn new technologies, managerial and mathematical skills. We must take steps to remain aware of current trends, and develop the skills to speak as experts to constituents and peers. Many of our current staff have the skills necessary to learn new methods, so time, funding, and training are key resource requirements here.

We need to train the current workforce so they can perform the analytical, auditing and technical requirements of the existing programs and any subsequent advancements in service delivery. To maintain a workforce capable of supporting our direct-service programs, we must provide professional development and continuing education to internal staff. Training will also be necessary in the cataloging of electronic documents and in the use of the new OCLC cataloging interface for current or future staff without those skills.

The Human Resources staff will work with agency managers and supervisors to revise job descriptions and task statements to reflect changing skill and technology requirements. Our goal will be to hire new staff who already possess appropriate skills and abilities so they can immediately be successful in the position. Unfortunately, this will create salary compression issues in many program areas, so we will need to look for ways to proactively address the consequences of this solution.

We implemented a new performance evaluation system for all of the positions. The new process requires team leaders, supervisors and managers to work with each particular employee to identify training required to ensure the employee is successful in accomplishing the job responsibilities of his/her particular position. This then becomes the training plan, establishing written goals for the next evaluation period. The training goals are updated as the section’s needs and employee’s abilities evolve. The use of this new tool ensures our success in training current staff who have the ability to acquire needed skills for the future.

We will also coordinate training for professional staff in distance-learning techniques and curriculum development, as well as Web-based curriculum development, to ensure that newly implemented technology systems are utilized to the fullest extent possible.

We will continue efforts to implement mentoring and peer-training programs to minimize any potential skill shortages. In addition, we can establish systems to allow senior staff members to work with junior staff on teams that will facilitate on-the-job training in some of the soft skills necessary for the professional positions.

RECRUITMENT/RETENTION

Supervisors and managers will coordinate with staff to ensure ongoing professional education and development is available for all positions, especially those of a technical and/or professional nature. Job classifications are reviewed and modified when necessary, which provides a mechanism to upgrade positions when additional duties are assumed.
To help motivate and retain staff, agency managers will continue efforts to recognize and reward individual performance according to agency policies and to provide appropriate opportunities for professional growth. Current available tools include merit raises, one-time merit bonuses, and administrative leave. Our agency also provides peer-recognition tools including the Employee of the Quarter Award, and the Lorenzo Award.

WORK PROCESSES

In many areas of our agency, current work processes will need to change as a result of technological advancements, industry changes, and economic, social, and political conditions. We will need to look for additional ways to streamline and automate processes, particularly for routine administrative tasks and legislative mandates. Program Managers will work together to streamline processes and efficiently accomplish tasks common to several divisions. We may also need to explore the use of temporary workers, consultants, and outsourcing for specialized jobs or tasks to prevent burnout of the existing workforce.

Divisions will further explore opportunities to form cross-divisional teams to avoid duplication of effort. However, even with the implementation of efficiency practices, current staffing levels will not accommodate new programs or services without dropping or revising some of our present services. While we anticipate that all programs will change and grow, we have not seen a decline in demand for any of our current services, with the exception of microfilming.

Our current structure, task-oriented with specific job descriptions for each position, will need to become more fluid. We must have staff who can handle a variety of skills and be willing to work at whatever tasks are required each day. The agency has implemented self-managed teams in several areas. This method allows the team members to utilize each person’s strengths and minimize weaknesses, and to rearrange work processes and assignments to provide the most effective and efficient service delivery. In addition, expanded responsibilities often allow each team member opportunities to use and develop skills other than those required for routine completion of job duties. We will continue to look for additional program areas that could benefit from this less traditional organizational structure.

We must focus efforts on proper planning for information resources acquisition and implementation as the need for technology increases in all program areas. We will need to ensure we have adequate technical support for our wide-area network, Web-based services, client-server services, and the new videoconference systems deployed throughout the state. In addition, we must ensure proper project management for all information resources projects to eliminate interruptions to service.

SUCCESSION PLANNING

The agency has not yet implemented the practice of succession planning. In the next year, the Human Resources staff will work with division directors to identify key positions throughout the agency for which succession planning is critical. Then, the division directors will work as a group to identify potential staff with the skills and abilities to assume a particular key position with a minimal amount of training. This will allow managers and supervisors to develop staff with the potential to provide adequate backup of critical skills throughout the agency. This is especially important as we evaluate the positions of staff who will be eligible to retire in the next five years. Agency leadership will need to ensure adequate resources are available to develop these human resources.
Mentoring programs will be established to provide a mechanism for transferring institutional knowledge and program-specific skills from retiring staff to advancing staff in an effort to avoid the “brain drain” syndrome.

**LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT**

The Human Resources staff will work closely with agency managers to identify individuals with potential for leadership positions using a variety of standard evaluation methods and tools. Replacement and succession charts will be developed within each division that will provide an opportunity for both managers and staff to examine the depth of talent, skills, and abilities of the current workforce.

Increased use of self-managed teams will also help us provide leadership development opportunities. Each team member, regardless of classification or job duties, will be allowed the opportunity to lead the team or subgroups in specific efforts. In this environment, all team members are encouraged to develop leadership skills through practical experience. Most staff welcomes the opportunity to expand their responsibilities and demonstrate their abilities and this has proven to be an effective tool in some programs.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

We believe we have one of the most qualified and professional workforces in state government. We recognize that as our programs and services evolve so will the skill requirements for our workforce. We will implement strategies to ensure our current and future workforce has access to the resources to acquire the skills necessary to remain successful in accomplishing our mission.
### COMPARISON OF CONSTRUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Construct Name</th>
<th>TSL Score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Agency size (FTE3)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mid-sized agencies</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mission 1/10</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>All agencies Rank</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>TSL in '04 Rank</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>TSL in '02 Rank</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Strategic Orientation</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>External Communications</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fairness</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Physical Environment</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Time and Stress</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Empowerment</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Goal Oriented</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Availability of Information</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Holographic (Consistency)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Supervisor Effectiveness</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Team Effectiveness</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Change Oriented</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Internal Communications</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fair Pay</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Construct Score</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The management of the agency was pleased to note that the overall average construct score has increased each time the survey has been taken. This indicates the staff’s higher opinion of the agency and our programs. In FY06 management has held a number of meetings with staff to discuss the timetable and direction for the renovation and modernization of the
Lorenzo de Zavala State Archives and Library Building. Staff has responded positively to these meetings.
Texas Responds Grants

After Hurricane Katrina, the agency, working with the Institute of Museum and Library Services, was granted authority to extend the use of FFY2004 funds and use FFY2005 funds to provide an emergency grant program to Texas public libraries. The grants would assist libraries with programs and services to support victims of Hurricane Katrina who had been evacuated to Texas. Programs involving collaboration with other community and state organizations were encouraged. The commission received eight applications for Texas Responds grants and awarded more than $180,000 to seven libraries.

Austin Public Library
The library received $44,443 for programs to help serve the thousands of evacuees in the Austin area. The rapid increase in library traffic strained existing library resources. The library used the award to purchase additional materials relevant to the evacuee community (such as New Orleans newspaper subscriptions, materials for the African-American community, additional homework/required reading materials for school children), provide additional youth programming at branch libraries, and work with the Austin History Center and other organizations to collect stories about the evacuee experience and assist evacuees with the preservation of family documents. The Austin History Center presented an archives clinic, two programs for evacuees to share stories at two library locations, and produced a 7-panel traveling exhibit, Katrina Survivors: Sharing Our Stories. They also collaborated with the Youth Services Division, the non-profit organization Alive in Truth: The New Orleans Disaster Oral History and Memory Project, the University of Texas Information School, local media, and the Austin Independent School District. The programming culminated in a Mardi Gras: Austin Style program at a branch library. Several of the musicians were evacuees. Evacuees expressed gratitude for being able to celebrate this holiday. One attendee said, “Thank you so much! I have been so sad about Mardi Gras, but knowing I could come here today made all the difference.” 742 materials were purchased, and 4,405 people attended 117 programs.

Cooke County Library (Gainesville)
The library received $1,900 to provide enhanced Internet access and programs and materials targeted to evacuees. The library purchased an additional public access computer, worked with the local schools to ensure the library had enough copies of required books for new students, and also purchased additional adult materials such as job testing books to assist job seekers. They report their programs served 313 people and that they purchased 81 materials.

Houston Public Library
The library received $55,926 to help cover the costs of the extensive services they offered the thousands of evacuees housed at the many shelters in Houston in the early days of the evacuation. They created a mini-library at the convention center, installed additional computers at branches, participated in job fairs, distributed materials, and collaborated with other area libraries such as Baytown and Bellaire. The award principally covered their additional personnel, computer, and related materials costs. They reported 16,459 persons served with grant funds.
Mares Memorial Library (Dickinson)
The library received $9,050 to assist evacuees in that area. Dickinson was one of the first places evacuees came to after leaving St. Bernard Parish. Many remained there and the small staff (one librarian) had difficulty meeting the additional need for library services. The eight library computers were overwhelmed with people seeking information about their families and homes, aid, and jobs. The award was used for a temporary contract librarian to help at the library and work with community organizations to direct evacuees to needed services. Working with community organizations, the library provided handouts about local aid for food and housing, FEMA, and the Red Cross. They informed local government about evacuees’ needs, provided fax, photocopies, and computer printing at no charge, and issued library cards to temporary addresses. Library staff helped with resume writing, applying for jobs online, and taking job tests. Children’s and adult entertainment were also provided. About 50 families remain in the area. 89 library cards were issued and evacuees used the computers 2,125 times. A family from Thibodaux, LA wrote to say, “I hope you all know how much what you did for us is appreciated. There are no words to thank you enough.”

Nacogdoches Public Library
The library received $41,500 to serve the large number of evacuees in that area (relative to their population). The library served as a hub facility for emergency organizations such as FEMA, Red Cross, Texas State Guard, and others. The library staff established a community resource center with an outreach component. A coordinator presented programs, worked with a large number of community organizations to offer relevant services, and a portable computer lab facilitated Internet access. The library purchased job-searching resources. They served 3,649 people and purchased 95 library materials to support their programs.

Round Rock Public Library
The library received $6,777 to purchase laptop computers to add to their wireless network so they would have adequate public access computers for evacuees. They added additional computer skills classes to their existing class schedule and worked with community organizations (Round Rock Volunteer Center, Round Rock Serving Center, Texas Workforce Commission/Round Rock) to offer other classes (job searching, resume preparation) as needed. The grant was widely publicized in the local media. They report that 100 evacuees used the computers.

Lancaster Veterans Memorial Library
The libraries in Lancaster and Red Oak received $20,852. They collaborated with their school districts and two outreach centers to locate evacuees and publicize the available services to evacuees. The Texas Workforce Commission, surrounding cities, libraries, and leadership groups also helped promote the program. The libraries presented children’s activities and story-times, and purchased and provided special children’s materials on relevant topics such as dealing with loss. They purchased computers and relevant software for a wireless, portable computer lab, and trainers to offer computer classes and job search classes. Their goal was to help adult evacuees find employment. Volunteers provided transportation to the library. They provided 34 programs/classes, and had 199 people attend. In addition, 97 new library cards were issued. Evacuees attending the children’s programs especially commented that it was a welcome diversion.